Spin Transport in the Magnetic Tunneling Transistors

Stuart Parkin

IBM Almaden Research Center, San Jose, California

- Introduction to spin-based electronics
- Introduction to magnetic tunnel transistor (MTT)
- Use MTTs to study spin-dependent hot electron transport
 - spin-dependent electron attenuation lengths in thin films
 - bias voltage dependence of magnetocurrent
 - MTT with a spin-valve base
- Spin injection from MTTs into GaAs
- Spin-based devices







- Conventional electronics: utilizing the charge of carriers
- Spin-based electronics: utilizing the spin of carriers

Key components for spintronic devices:

- Generate spins:
 - \rightarrow ferromagnetic metals, diluted magnetic semiconductors etc.
- Transport spins:
 - \rightarrow from spin-polarized source into metals or semiconductors
- Manipulate spins:
 - \rightarrow electrical field, magnetic field etc.
- Detect spins:
 - \rightarrow electrical detection, optical detection

Rashba Effect

Two dimensional electron gas (2DEG):

- $-n_{\rm s} \sim 10^{12} \, {\rm cm}^{-2}, \ v_F \sim 10^7 \, {\rm cm/sec}$
- weakly relativistic
- Perpendicular electrical field:
 - due to asymmetry of confining potential
 - due to gate voltage



M. Johnson, Phys. Rev. B 58, 9635 (1998)

Perpendicular electrical field transforms to a magnetic field H*

- conduction band electron spin degeneracy is lifted
- Spin-orbit Hamiltonian:
 - $H_{so} = \alpha (\sigma x \mathbf{k}) \bullet \mathbf{z}$
 - Yu. A. Bychkov and E. I. Rashba, J. Phys. C 17, 6039 (1984).

Datta-Das Spin Transistor



S. Datta and B. Das, Appl. Phys. Lett. 56, 665 (1990) Det

Generation

- ferromagnetic (FM) contact FMI

Transportation

- from FMI into 2DEG

Manipulation

- Rashba effect: $H_{so} = \alpha (\sigma x \mathbf{k}) \bullet \mathbf{z}$
- gate voltage controls α

Detection

- ferromagnetic contact FM2

Conductivity Mismatch



G. Schmidt, D. Ferrand, L. W. Molenkamp, A. T. Filip, and B. J. van Wees, Phys. Rev. B 62, R4790 (2000). Stuart Parkin July 9, 2003

Solution: Tunnel Barrier

Tunneling current:

$$J = e \sum_{q,k} \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left| T_{q,k} \right|^2 \left[f_k \left(1 - f_q \right) - f_q \left(1 - f_k \right) \right]$$

q, k: initial and final statesf: Fermi distribution functionT: tunneling matrix element





- FM metal electrode: density of states (DOS) is spin polarized
 - \rightarrow tunnel barrier conductance is spin dependent
- The presence of tunnel barrier can enhance spin injection efficiency
 - E. I. Rashba, Phys. Rev. B 62, R16267 (2000).

- V. Ya. Kravchenko and E. I. Rashba, Phys. Rev. B 67, 121310 (R) (2003)

Magnetic Tunnel Transistor (MTT) with a Single Base Layer



Emitter (FMI):

- Injects spin-polarized hot electrons into the base

Base (FM2):

- Spin-dependent scattering
- Serves as a spin filter

Collector (GaAs):

- Schottky barrier at the interface
- Only collects electrons when they have enough energy to overcome the Schottky barrier and when there are states available in the semiconductor.

MTT- Parallel Ferromagnetic Moments



MTT- Anti-Parallel FM Moments

FM Moments Anti-Parallel



Spin Valve Transistor (SVT)



- Hot electron energy is limited by emitter Schottky barrier height.
- Collector current is very small (~ 20 nA)

Ballistic Electron Magnetic Microscopy (BEMM)



W. H. Rippard and R. A. Buhrman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 971 (2000).

Spin-Resolved Electron Transimission through FM Thin Films



D. Oberli, R. Burgermeister, S. Riesen, W. Weber, and H. C. Siegmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4228 (1998).
W. Weber, S. Riesen, and H. C. Siegmann, Science 291, 1015 (2000).

Magnetic tunnel transistor:

- Advantages:
 - solid state device
 - high spin polarization (> 95%)
 - room temperature operation
 - use tunnel barrier \rightarrow no conductivity mismatch problem
 - electron energy can be adjusted by bias voltage: $\sim 0.8-2.5~\text{eV}$
 - high speed

- Disadvantage:

- small collector current
 - \rightarrow increase transfer ratio by reducing interface scattering
 - \rightarrow reduce tunnel barrier resistance

Fabrication of Magnetic Tunnel Transistors





- Magnetron sputtering and ion-beam sputtering at room temperature
- Three shadow masks
- Active junction area ~100 x 300 mm²
- Base area ~I x 8 mm²

Magnetocurrent (MC) and Transfer Ratio (TR)



GaAs (111) / 30 Å $Co_{84}Fe_{16}$ / 26 Å Al_2O_3 / 50 Å $Co_{84}Fe_{16}$ / 300 Å $Ir_{22}Mn_{78}$ / 50 Å Ta Magnetocurrent (MC):

$$MC = \frac{I_{C,P} - I_{C,AP}}{I_{C,AP}}$$

Transfer Ratio (TR):

$$TR = \frac{I_C}{I_E}$$

Room Temperature Operation



•
$$MC = 64\% (V_{EB} = 1.4 V)$$

- I_{C,P} ~ I.6 μA
- MC limited by leakage current from the Schottky barrier

 $I'_{C,P} = I_{C,P} + I_{LEAK}$

$$I'_{C,AP} = I_{C,AP} + I_{LEAK}$$

$$MC' = (I'_{C,P} - I'_{C,AP}) / I'_{C,AP}$$

$$= (|\mathbf{I}_{\mathsf{C},\mathsf{P}} - \mathbf{I}_{\mathsf{C},\mathsf{AP}}|) / (|\mathbf{I}_{\mathsf{C},\mathsf{AP}}| + |\mathbf{I}_{\mathsf{LEAK}}|)$$

$$= MC / (I + I_{LEAK} / I_{C,AP})$$

Leakage current can be greatly reduced by making MTTs with small base area

Collector Current

MTT structure:

Emitter \rightarrow 50 Å Co₈₄Fe₁₆ / 25 Å Al₂O₃ Base \rightarrow t Ni₈₁Fe₁₉ (25 – 100 Å) Collector \rightarrow GaAs (001)

Collector current:

$$I_{C,P(AP)} = I_E\left(\frac{1+P_E}{2}\right)e^{-t/\lambda_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}}\alpha_{\uparrow(\downarrow)} + I_E\left(\frac{1-P_E}{2}\right)e^{-t/\lambda_{\downarrow(\uparrow)}}\alpha_{\downarrow(\uparrow)}$$

 $I_{C,P(AP)}$: collector current in parallel (anti-parallel) alignment

- I_E : emitter current
- P_E : spin polarization in the emitter
- t: base layer thickness
- $\lambda_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}$: attenuation length of majority (minority) spins in the base
- $\alpha_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}$: **collection** efficiency at the interface for majority (minority) spins



Majority Electron Attenuation Length



In parallel alignment, transport of minority spins is negligible for thick films:

$$I_{C,P}/I_E \propto e^{-t/\lambda_{\uparrow}}$$

Fit: $\lambda_{\uparrow} = 67 \pm 3 \text{ Å}$

Minority Electron Attenuation Length



Spin-dependence of interface scattering is negligible.

Fit:
$$\lambda_{\downarrow} = 13 \pm 2 \text{ Å}$$

Energy Dependence of Attenuation Length: NiFe

- Attenuation length of majority electrons decreases with electron energy.
- Attenuation length of minority electrons does not change much.
- Can be explained by strong electron-electron scattering.



Ni₈₁Fe₁₉ (T=77 K)

Energy Dependence of Attenuation Length: CoFe

Similar result for Co₈₄Fe₁₆ thin films.

Co₈₄Fe₁₆ (T=77 K)



Electron Scattering in FM 3d Transition Metals

electron-electron scattering



Electron-electron scattering

- spin asymmetry in the DOS of d band
- minority electrons are strongly scattered due to the abundance of unoccupied states to scatter into
- Spin-dependent elastic scattering
 - due to exchange coupling of electron spins to the magnetic moments of ions
- **Spontaneous spin-wave emission**
 - only scatters minority electrons due to angular momentum conservation restraint
- Thermal spin-wave scattering
 - causes spin-mixing

Phonon scattering

- no significant spin-dependence

References for Electron Scattering Mechanisms

- J. J. Quinn, Phys. Rev. 126, 1453 (1962).
- R. K. Nesbet, Phys. Rev. B 32, 390 (1985).
- A. Ormeci, B. M. Hall, and D. L. Mills, Phys. Rev. B 42, 4524 (1990).
- D. P. Pappas et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 504 (1991).
- M. P. Gokhale and D. L. Mills, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2251 (1991).
- G. Schönhense and H. C. Siegmann, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 2, 465 (1993).
- M. Plihal, D. L. Mills, and J. Kirschner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2579 (1999).
- J. Hong and D. L. Mills, Phys. Rev. B 59, 13840 (1999).
- E. Zarate, P. Apell, and P. M. Echenique, Phys. Rev. B 60, 2326 (1999).
- R. Jansen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3277 (2000).
- R. Knorren et al., Phys. Rev. B 61, 9427 (2000).
- H. –J. Drouhin, Phys. Rev. B 62, 556 (2000).
- H.-J. Drouhin, J. Appl. Phys. 89, 6805 (2001).

Energy Dependence of Majority Electron Attenuation Length



According to the conventional Fermi liquid theory*, relaxation time due to electron-electron scattering is:

 $\tau \propto 1/E_{E}^{2}$

Then attenuation length is:

 $\lambda \propto \nu \, \tau \propto (E_{\text{E}}\!+\!E_{\text{F}})^{1/2}\!/E_{\text{E}}^{-2}$

Including other scattering processes:

$$I/\lambda = I/\lambda_{el-el} + I/\lambda_{other}$$

(assuming λ $_{\text{other}}$ is independent of electron energy).

*J. J. Quinn, Phys. Rev. 126, 1453 (1962)

Interface Scattering: CoFe vs. NiFe



- Extrapolated transfer ration at zero base layer thickness for parallel alignment.
- Stronger interface scattering for NiFe/GaAs than for CoFe/GaAs.
- Transfer ratio can be improved by minimizing interface scattering

Spin Polarization vs. Base Layer Thickness



- Required base layer thickness in order to obtain 95% spin-polarized current.
- Emitter polarization is assumed to be 40%.
- MTT promises a highly spin-polarized electron source at room temperature.

Bias Dependence of MC in MTTs with GaAs Collectors

MTT structure: Collector / Base / \sim 20 Å Al₂O₃ / 50 Å CoFe / 300 Å IrMn / 50 Å Ta



Sample A:

- MC decreases monotonically with bias up to \sim 1.1 V
- MC increases after 1.1 V and then decreases gradually at higher bias

Bias Dependence of Transfer Ratio



Transfer ratio increases with bias due to the opening of GaAs conduction bands for electron collection.

More rapid increase in transfer ratio at ~ 1.1 V.

GaAs Conduction Band Structure



Projection of GaAs energy bands on (001) plane



Scattering in the base broadens the energy and angular distribution of hot electrons.

Model



- Majority and minority electrons have different energy distribution due to spindependent inelastic scattering in the base layer.
- At low bias, majority electrons can be more easily collected by the collector because of their narrow energy distribution, which leads to a large MC. At high bias, more minority electrons can also be collected, which leads to a reduced MC.
- The opening of the L bands favors the injection of majority electrons and causes the nonmonotonic bias dependence of the MC.

Calculation Results





- Angular distribution: broad for both majority and minority electrons.
 - \rightarrow strong interface scattering
- Energy distribution: broader for minority electrons than for majority electrons.

Narrow Angular Distribution





For narrow angular distribution, the contribution from the L bands is very small. The non-monotonic bias dependence of MC cannot be reproduced.

Same Energy Distribution





The non-monotonic bias dependence of MC cannot be reproduced without assuming different energy distribution for majority and minority electrons.



- Monotonic bias voltage dependence of MC
- Conduction band structure of Si is different from that of GaAs
- Assuming broad angular distribution and different energy distribution for majority and minority electrons, the same model can well account for this monotonic bias dependence.

Magnetic Tunnel Transistor with a Spin-Valve Base



- Nonmagnetic emitter
- Spin-valve base
 - FMI and FM2 have different coercivity

Transmission polarization:

$$P=rac{e^{-t/\lambda_{\uparrow}}-e^{-t/\lambda_{\downarrow}}}{e^{-t/\lambda_{\uparrow}}+e^{-t/\lambda_{\downarrow}}}$$

3400% MC



Stuart Parkin July 9, 2003

Spin Filtering

t Å NiFe / 40 Å Cu / t Å CoFe



Transmission Polarization :

$$P = \frac{n_{\uparrow} - n_{\downarrow}}{n_{\uparrow} + n_{\downarrow}} = \frac{e^{-t/\lambda_{\uparrow}} - e^{-t/\lambda_{\downarrow}}}{e^{-t/\lambda_{\uparrow}} + e^{-t/\lambda_{\downarrow}}}$$

Magnetocurrent :

$$MC = \frac{I_{C,P} - I_{C,AP}}{I_{C,AP}} = \frac{2P_1P_2}{1 - P_1P_2}$$

MC: Single Layer Base MTT vs. Spin-Valve Base MTT

$MC = 2P_1P_2/(I-P_1P_2)$

P₁, P₂: Density of states polarization (~40%) or transmission polarization (>95%)



Spin-valve base MTT



Interface Scattering



- Hot electron scattering at CoFe/Cu and NiFe/Cu interfaces negligible
- Strong hot electron scattering at NiFe/GaAs interface than at CoFe/GaAs interface

MC: Cu vs. Au Space Layers



TR: Cu vs. Au Space Layer

50 Å NiFe / 40 Å Au or Cu / 50 Å CoFe



Strong hot electron scattering at the CoFe/Au and NiFe/Au interfaces

Electrical Spin Injection from MTTs into GaAs



p⁺-GaAs / p-AlGaAs / i-AlGaAs / [GaAs / InGaAs QW]₃ / i-AlGaAs / n-GaAs / NiFe / CoFe / Al₂O₃ / CoFe / Ta



Spin-polarized electrons recombine with holes in the QWs and emit circularly polarized light.

The spin polarization can be determined by measuring the polarization of the light.

Optical Selection Rules



Selection Rules:

- In quantum wells, the degeneracy of heavy and light holes is lifted. As a result, it is possible to measure electron-HH recombination only.
- Electroluminescence (EL) polarization reflects electron spin polarization right before they recombine with heavy holes.

Experiment Set-up



Optical Setup:

- Faraday geometry: magnetic field parallel to light propagation.
- Liquid crystal retarder (LCR) and linear polarizer (A) select the polarization components of the EL.
- Light intensity measured with a charge coupled device (CCD).

Spin Relaxation Mechanism

Elliott-Yafet (EY) mechanism :

- Spin-orbit coupling mixes electron wave functions with opposite spin states
- Momentum scattering can cause spin relaxation.
- Spin relaxation rate:

 $I / \tau_s \propto I / \tau_p \rightarrow I / \tau_p$ being momentum relaxation rate

Bir-Aronov-Pikus (BAP) mechanism:

- Spin relaxation due to electron-hole interactions
- Spin relaxation rate proportional to hole concentration in non-degenerate case

D'yakonov-Perel' (DP) mechanism:

- Effective magnetic field: $H = -g\hbar(\sigma \bullet B_{EFF})/2$, $B_{EFF} = B_{EFF}(p) \propto E^n$
- Spin relaxation due to precession in the effective magnetic field
- Spin relaxation rate: I / $\tau_{\textrm{S}} \propto \tau_{\textrm{p}}$

DP Relaxation Mechanism



Electroluminescence



T = 1.4 K, V_{EB} = -2.06 V, V_{CB} = 1.0 V

EL polarization defined as:

 $\mathbf{P}_{\mathsf{EL}} = \left(\mathbf{I}_{+} - \mathbf{I}_{-} \right) / \left(\mathbf{I}_{+} + \mathbf{I}_{-} \right)$

I $_+$ and I $_-$ being EL intensities for σ $_+$ and σ_- components respectively.

Majority Spin Injection



R. Wang



- Background polarization due to thermalization of spins in the magnetic field.
- After subtracting a linear background, the EL polarization data agrees with the SQUID data very well.
- **EL** polarization up to $\sim 10\%$.

Bias Dependence of EL Polarization



- BAP mechanism

Spin-Torque Transistor



G. E. W. Bauer, A. Brataas, Y. Tsekovnyak, and B. J. van Wees, Appl. Phys. Lett. **82**, 3928 (2000).

- µ_s generates source drain current l_{sD}.
 - \rightarrow spin accumulation in normal metal N₁

Orientation of magnetization M_B in the base influences spin accumulation in N₁.

- $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \ \mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{SD}}$ can be modulated by varying $\boldsymbol{\theta}$
- Spin transfer from N₁ and N₂ into the base produces torque on M_B. The resulting angle θ depends on both μ_B and μ_S.
 - \rightarrow I_{SD} can be modulated by varying μ_B
- Negative differential resistance and gain can be achieved.

Magnetic p-n Junctions



n-region (nonmagnetic)

ightarrow spin-polarized due to spin injection

p-region (magnetic)

ightarrow spin subband splitting in magnetic field

 $\Delta E = 2 g \mu_B B$

\rightarrow barrier height for electron current depends on magnetic field

ightarrow current varies exponentially with magnetic field



- $B^*=2~k_B^{}\,T\,/\,g\,\mu_B$
- $\alpha {:}\ \text{spin polarization in n-region}$
- τ : spin relaxation time
- red: $\alpha = 0$, $\tau = 0.2$ ns
- violet: $\alpha = 1$, $\tau = 20$ ns

cyan: $\alpha = 1$, $\tau = 2 \ \mu s$

I. Žutić, J. Fabian, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 066603 (2002).

Spin-Polarized Solar Battery





I. Žutić, J. Fabian, and S. Das Sarma, Appl. Phys. Lett. **79**, 1558 (2001). p-n junction illuminated by circularly polarized light

Depletion region: build-in field

- \rightarrow spin-polarized electrons swept into p-region
- ightarrow unpolarized holes swept into n-region

Generate both charge current and spinpolarized current

Acknowledgments

Xin Jiang[¶], Roger Wang[¶], Sebastiaan van Dijken Roger MacFarlane, Bob Shelby IBM Almaden Research Center, San Jose

Glenn Solomon, Jim Harris

Solid State Photonics Laboratory, Stanford University