Lecture 4 - Boulder CM School - A. Douglas Stone Semiclassical theory of ballistic junctions



Want to calculate $\langle \Delta g(B) \rangle$, Var(g), C_g(ΔE), C_g(ΔB) =>k_c (E_c), B_c - not just chaotic case

RMT: $\langle \Delta g(B) \rangle = 1/4$, $Var(g) = 1/(8\beta)$, lineshape but no dynamical scales, no prediction about regular or partially chaotic systems

Conductance correlation functions

$$C_g(\Delta k) = \langle \delta g(k + \Delta k) \delta g(k) \rangle_{avg}$$
$$C_g(\Delta B) = \langle \delta g(B + \Delta B) \delta g(B) \rangle_{avg}$$
$$= g - \langle g \rangle, \quad avg = \sum_{E_i, B_i, disorder}, \quad Var(g) = C_g(0)$$

Will give dynamical scales (Lee Stone PRL 1985)



 δg



Power spectra = $FT of C_g$

Why Semiclassical Approach?

- What do we mean by drawing electron paths?
- Get the non-universal features

S

$$G = \frac{e^2}{h} \sum_{a,b}^{N} |t_{ab}|^2$$

$$\begin{split} t_{ab} &= -\frac{\sqrt{2\pi i\hbar}}{2W} \sum_{s(\bar{a},\bar{b})} \operatorname{sgn}(\bar{a}) \operatorname{sgn}(\bar{b}) \sqrt{\tilde{D}_s} \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \tilde{S}_s(\bar{a},\bar{b},E) - i\frac{\pi}{2} \tilde{\mu}_s\right), \\ &|t_{ab}|^2 = \sum A_s A_u e^{ik(L_s - L_u) + i(\phi_s - \phi_u)} \\ &< \exp[ikL_s + i\phi_s - ikL_u - i\phi_u] > = \delta_{su}? \\ &< |t_{ab}|^2 > \approx \sum < (A_s^{ab})^2 > \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Diagonal\ approximation} \\ (\mathrm{DA}) \end{array}$$

DA gives dynamical scales and lineshapes correctly, <u>not</u> <u>magnitudes</u> - but off-diagonal SC is possible and works

Dynamical Scales



Dynamical scales in magnetic field

Instead of doing C(Δ B) using DA, do $\langle \delta G(B) \rangle_{WL}$ using generalized DA:

$$|r_{aa}|^{2} = \sum_{s,u} A_{s}A_{u}e^{ikL_{s}+i\phi_{s}(B)-ikL_{u}-i\phi_{u}(B)}$$

In $|r_{aa}|^{2} \exists$ exact TR pairs with $L_{s} = L_{u}$, will survive <>

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta_{a} \\ \theta_{a} \\ \theta_{a} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$i\phi_{s}(B) - i\phi_{u}(B) = 2\Theta_{s}B/\phi_{0} \quad \Theta_{s} \equiv (2\pi/B)\int \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{d}\mathbf{I}$$

$$\delta R_D(B) \equiv \sum_{a}^{N} |r_{aa}|^2 \quad \delta R_D(B) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} d(\sin\theta) \sum_{s(\theta, \pm\theta)} \tilde{A}_s e^{i2\Theta_s B/\phi_0}.$$

 $\delta R_D(B) = \mathcal{R} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Theta P(\Theta) \exp[i2\Theta B/\phi_0], \quad \text{See Les Houches Notes}$

$$P(\Theta) \propto \exp[-\alpha |\Theta|]$$

$$\delta R_D(B) = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{1 + (2B/\alpha_{cl}\phi_0)^2}$$



 $R_D(0) = 2 R_D (B >> \alpha \phi_0/2)$ the coherent backscattering effect- gives WRONG δg_{WL}

Lorentzian WL lineshape agrees with RMT, but with no free parameter

Similar argument give $C(\Delta B) = (Lorentzian)^2$

SC method can address <u>non-chaotic shapes</u>; all that changes is P(L) and $P(\Theta)$ - typically power law decays due to conserved quantities



 $\delta \mathbf{R} \propto FT\{ \mathbf{P}(\Theta) \}$, leads to singularity $\delta \mathbf{R}$ as $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$

Baranger et al. PRL 1993, linear WL lineshape predicted



Chang et al., PRL 94; see also for CF Marcus et al., PRL 92



Warning: Universal Hamiltonian is not universal!

Problems with the generalized diagonal approximation:

- No semiclassical corrections to T due to TR symmetry
 - θ_a
- R + T = N, $R_{OD} + R_D + T = N$; if $R_D \rightarrow R_D/2$ with B and T and R_{OD} unchanged => DA violates unitarity
- \bullet Numerically we do see change in T and $R_{\rm OD}$
- WL and UCF diagrams for disordered case find correlations
- Apparently $< \exp[ik(L_s L_u)] > \neq 0$ for $s \neq u$



Richter and Sieber, PRL 206801 (2002) and references therein

Proved the existence of such orbits in an ergodic system for sufficiently small crossing angle ϵ



$$\Delta S(\varepsilon) \approx \frac{p^2 \varepsilon^2}{2m\lambda}.$$

Can contribute when $\Delta S < h/2\pi$, angles $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in SC limit

Related problem of spectral form factor $K(\tau)$; semiclassically determined by periodic orbits:

$$\begin{split} K(\tau) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\bar{d}(E)} \left\langle d_{\mathrm{osc}} \left(E + \eta/2\right) d_{\mathrm{osc}} \left(E - \eta/2\right) \right\rangle_{E} e^{2\pi i \eta \tau \bar{d}(E)} ,\\ K^{\mathrm{GOE}}(\tau) &= \begin{cases} 2\tau - \tau \log(1 + 2\tau) & \text{if } \tau < 1, \\ 2 - \tau \log\frac{2\tau + 1}{2\tau - 1} & \text{if } \tau > 1, \end{cases} \\ K(\tau) &\approx \frac{1}{2\pi \hbar \bar{d}(E)} \sum_{\gamma,\gamma'} \left\langle A_{\gamma} A_{\gamma'}^{*} e^{i(S_{\gamma} - S_{\gamma'})/\hbar} \delta \left(T - \frac{T_{\gamma} + T_{\gamma'}}{2}\right) \right\rangle_{E} , \qquad \tau = \mathrm{T} \Delta \varepsilon/\mathrm{h} \end{split}$$

Look for periodic orbits which differ but have very close actions.



$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_2 \\ p(\gamma_2 + \varepsilon/2) \end{pmatrix} = R \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 \\ p(\gamma_1 - \varepsilon/2) \end{pmatrix} , \qquad \begin{pmatrix} -\delta_2 \\ p(\gamma_2 - \varepsilon/2) \end{pmatrix} = L \begin{pmatrix} -\delta_1 \\ p(\gamma_1 + \varepsilon/2) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Delta S(\epsilon) \approx \frac{p\varepsilon}{2} (\delta_1 + \delta_2) . \quad \delta_1 + \delta_2 \propto \varepsilon, \Delta S \propto \varepsilon^2$$
$$\Delta S(\varepsilon) \approx \frac{p^2 \varepsilon^2}{2m\lambda}.$$
$$K_{\text{off}}^{(2)}(\tau) \approx \frac{4}{2\pi\hbar\bar{d}(E)} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty d\varepsilon \sum_{\gamma} |A_{\gamma}|^2 P(\varepsilon, T) \exp(i\Delta S(\varepsilon)/\hbar) \,\delta(T - T_{\gamma})$$

$$\approx \frac{4}{2\pi\hbar\bar{d}(E)}\operatorname{Re}\int_0^\infty \!\mathrm{d}\varepsilon \int_0^\infty \!\mathrm{d}T'\;\rho(T')\frac{{T'}^2}{\exp(\lambda T')}\,P(\varepsilon,T)\,\exp(i\Delta S(\varepsilon)/\hbar)\,\delta(T-T')$$

Using form for P(ϵ ,T) they find K_{OD} = -2 τ^2 , correct GOE result, needed to go to next order in ϵ to get it.

Are there such orbit pairs?

An example from the hyperbola billiard Where's Waldo?



Did simulations of P(ϵ ,T) with 50 x 10⁶ orbits



Back to the WL calculation:

$$|t_{nm}|_{loop}^{2} \approx \frac{\pi\hbar}{ww'} \sum_{\gamma(\bar{n},\bar{n})} \frac{\delta(T-T_{\gamma})}{|\cos\theta_{\bar{n}}^{i}\cos\theta_{\bar{m}}M_{21}^{\gamma}|} I(T)$$

$$\approx \frac{2\hbar}{mA} \int dT e^{-T/\tau} I(T)$$

$$I(T) = \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{\pi} d\varepsilon P(\varepsilon; T) \exp\left(\frac{ip^{2}\varepsilon^{2}}{2\hbar m\lambda}\right) = (\hbar/2mA)T$$

$$P(\varepsilon; T) \approx 2mv^{2} \int_{T_{\min}(\varepsilon)}^{T} dt(T-t) \sin(\varepsilon) p_{erg}, \quad p_{erg} = 1/(2\pi mA)$$

$$c \approx \varepsilon \exp[\lambda T_{\min}(\varepsilon)/2] \implies P(\varepsilon; T)d\varepsilon \sim \frac{T^{2}v^{2}}{\pi A} \frac{\sin\varepsilon}{2} \left[1 - 2\frac{T_{\min}(\varepsilon)}{T}\right]d\varepsilon$$

$$|t_{nm}|_{loop}^{2} \approx -\left[\frac{\hbar}{mA}\right]^{2} \int dT T e^{-T/\tau} = \frac{-1}{(2N)^{2}} \implies \delta T_{loop} = -\frac{1}{4}$$

Why do I like this so much?

A semiclassical explanation for UCF (finally!)

$$Var(g) = \sum_{ab}^{N} \sum_{cd}^{N} < \delta T_{ab} \delta T_{cd} >$$
Lee, Stone, 1988
$$< \delta T_{ab} \delta T_{cd} > \propto \frac{1}{N^4}$$

Feng,

Need these off-diagonal correlations to get this

Thanks to long time collaborators:Harold BarangerRodolfo JalabertJean-Louis PichardAaron SzaferJens Noeckel

