QuantumInstitute.Yale.edu

Quantum.Yale.edu

Experimental Simulation of Atomic Vibrational Spectra Using Efficient Boson Sampling

Steven Girvin Yale Quantum Institute



<u>Theory</u> SMG Liang Jiang Leonid Glazman M. Mirrahimi Shruti Puri

Baptiste Royer Shraddha Singh Kevin Smith Micheline Soley Yaxing Zhang +....

Disclosure: SMG is a consultant and equity holder in Quantum Circuits, Inc. and an equity holder in IBM, Inc.

Experiment Michel Devoret Luigi Frunzio Rob Schoelkopf

Chris Wang Jacob Curtis Luyan Sun Yvonne Gao **Brian Lester** Andrei Petrenko Nissim Ofek **Reinier Heeres** Philip Reinhold Yehan Liu Zaki Leghtas **Brian Vlastakis** +....





Goal: Study quantum non-equilibrium vibrational dynamics of small molecules following a sudden photoemission event



Experimental demonstration of a highly hardware efficient quantum 'boson sampling' simulator using microwave quanta to represent vibrational quanta

First-generation experiments: <u>Chris Wang</u> (Schoelkopf lab)

Phys. Rev. X 10, 021060 (2020) Phys. Rev. X 13, 011008 (2023) Programmable quantum simulator desiderata:

1. Universal control

- Create initial non-classical states
- Synthesize arbitrary Hamiltonian dynamics
- 2. Efficient and non-trivial measurements
 - Probe the simulation results
 - State tomography beyond capabilities typically available in the system being simulated

Universal control and measurement of hybrid qubitoscillator systems in circuit QED.



Qubit-Cavity Strong Dispersive Coupling

$$\omega_c \neq \omega_q$$

$$H = \omega_c a^{\dagger} a + \frac{\omega_q}{2} \sigma^z + \chi \sigma^z a^{\dagger} a$$

Permits several possible instruction sets

Example 1: SNAP gate set:

 $\mathcal{D}(\alpha) = e^{\alpha a^{\dagger} - \alpha^* a}$

(Recall this from Lecture 2)

Cavity-controlled qubit rotations + Cavity displacements:

$$U_{\text{SNAP}}(\vec{\theta}) \equiv e^{i\sigma^{z}\sum_{n=0}^{n}\theta_{n}\hat{P}_{n}}$$
$$\hat{P}_{n} = |n\rangle\langle n|$$
$$\vec{\theta} = (\theta_{0}, \theta_{1}, \dots, \theta_{n_{\text{max}}})$$

ISA Example 2: Phase-Space Displacements Qubit-Controlled Cavity Displacement + Qubit Rotations

Phase Space Displacement by $\alpha = \Delta_x + i\Delta_p$





Starting from vacuum, this is a non-universal gate that can only produce coherent states:

$$|\alpha\rangle \equiv \mathcal{D}(\alpha)|0\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|n\rangle; \quad a|\alpha\rangle = \alpha|\alpha\rangle \quad ['\text{Hilbert Hotel'}]$$

ISA Example 2: Qubit-Controlled Cavity Displacement + Qubit Rotations



ISA Example 2: Qubit-Controlled Cavity Displacement + Qubit Rotations

Non-Commuting Geometry of Oscillator Phase Space \otimes Bloch Sphere: <u>Conditional</u> Displacements

Universal Gate Set (Lie algebra does not close): Composing conditional displacements and qubit rotations



9

(Echoed) Controlled-Displacement ISA:



Fast Universal Control of an Oscillator with Weak Dispersive Coupling to a Qubit, A. Eickbusch et al. (Devoret Lab) <u>Nature Physics</u> **18**, 1464 (2022)

[Wigner function and characteristic function phase space tomography plots will be explained later.]

Photon Fock State Generation



QuantumInstitute.Yale.edu

Quantum.Yale.edu

(Echoed) Controlled-Displacement ISA:

Fast Universal Control of an Oscillator with Weak Dispersive Coupling to a Qubit, A. Eickbusch et al. (Devoret Lab) <u>Nature Physics</u> **18**, 1464 (2022)



Binomial QEC code word states

GKP QEC code word states

Universal control and measurement of hybrid qubitoscillator systems in circuit QED.



Bosonic State Tomography with the Characteristic Function (related to density matrix: $\rho(x', x) = \langle x' | \Psi \rangle \langle \Psi | x \rangle$) --Using the controlled displacement gate

Characteristic Function

 $C(\alpha) = \left\langle \Psi \middle| \mathcal{D}(\alpha) \middle| \Psi \right\rangle$



How do we measure the overlap of the state with a displaced version of itself?



Measure phase kickback of controlled displacement

$$\langle \sigma^x \rangle = \operatorname{Re} C(\alpha)$$

 $\langle \sigma^y \rangle = -\operatorname{Im} C(\alpha)$

Interpretation:

 $\mathcal{D}_{c}(\alpha) = e^{[\alpha a^{\dagger} - \alpha^{*}a]\sigma^{z}} = e^{-2i[\Delta_{x}\hat{p} + i\Delta_{p}\hat{x}]\sigma^{z}}$

Think of this, not as a controlled displacement, but as a <u>rotation of the qubit</u> around the z axis by an angle dependent on the position and momentum of the oscillator.

Bosonic State Tomography with the Wigner Function (also related to density matrix and characteristic function)



Fourier transform of Characteristic Function Expectation value of displaced photon number parity operator





World's largest Schrodinger Cat:

Interference fringes in Wigner function prove coherent superposition (of coherent states)



Milul et al., (S. Rosenblum group) <u>arXiv:2302.06442</u>; See also J. Home ion-trap cats. State Tomography in the Fock Basis:

Efficient Boson Sampling from the Photon Number Distribution

-via binary search for the photon number

Is the photon number equal to 1? Yes or no? 13? Yes or no?

[If there are, say, 256 possible photon numbers, the answer is likely to be 'no' most of the time.]

Inefficient sampling implies large query complexity.]



Qubit-Cavity Strong Dispersive Coupling

$$\omega_c \neq \omega_q$$



Measure cavity photon number by its effect qubit transition frequency. [QND]



Is the photon number equal to either 1 or 3? Yes or no?



Measure <u>any arbitrary binary function</u> of the photon number. [QND]







Using this control and measurement toolbox for

hardware-efficient simulation of physical models containing bosons.

Experimental simulation of the optical spectra of vibrating molecules

Franck-Condon factors as a boson sampling problem



Huh et al. Nature Photonics 9 615 (2015)

Warm up example: the suddenly displaced harmonic oscillator



Warm up example: the suddenly displaced harmonic oscillator



Warm up example: the suddenly displaced harmonic oscillator

 $\left|\Psi\right\rangle_{\text{old basis}}=\left|0\right\rangle$

$$\Psi \rangle_{\text{new basis}} = e^{-\Delta[a^{\dagger}-a]} |0\rangle = |-\Delta\rangle$$

 $|\Psi\rangle_{\text{new basis}} = e^{-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}} \sum_{n} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle$

Spectral function: Franck-Condon Factor

$$S(\omega) = e^{-\overline{n}} \sum_{n} \frac{\overline{n}^{n}}{n!} \delta(\omega - n\Omega)$$

where $\overline{n} = |\alpha|^2 =$ mean excitation number. Poisson distribution.



Nuclear wave function has no time to change. Sudden projection onto eigenstates of the new Hamiltonian.





Molecular Vibrational Spectroscopy



Co-Design Center for Quantum Advantage https://bnl.gov/quantumcenter



First-generation experiment: <u>Chris Wang</u> (Schoelkopf lab) **Phys. Rev. X 10, 021060 (2020)**

- 1. Obtain nuclear PES from solving fermionic problem on classical computer.
- 2. Approximate nuclear PES as quadratic
- But allow for different frequencies, displacement, squeezing, and orientation of symmetry axes (reflection symmetry destroyed) of PES between electronic ground and excited states.
- 4. Sudden approximation: Perform unitary transformation between eigenstates of ground and excited state Hamiltonians.

Doktorov et al. J. Mol. Spec. 64 302-326 (1977)

Quantum.Yale.edu



Huh et al. Nature Photonics 9 615 (2015)

Circuit implementation of the Franck-Condon simulation



[Reject 5-10%]

Experimentally simulated photoelectron processes via efficient boson sampling (photons represent phonons)



$$\mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{H}_2 \mathbf{O}^+ (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^2 \mathbf{B}_2) + |\psi_0\rangle = |0,0\rangle$$

L₁ distance between exact and experimental distributions:

$$D = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \left| p_{ij} - q_{ij} \right|$$

 $D_{\text{single-bit}} = 0.049$

 $D_{\text{sampling}} = 0.152$

Phys. Rev. X 10, 021060 (2020)

[Chris Wang]



Typical photodetectors are <u>not</u> number resolving and are <u>destructive</u>. Here we have efficient QND single-shot boson number sampling. We measure which of D=256 photon states the two cavities are in by QND measurement of the 'digits' in the binary representation of the photon number:

$$[n,m] = [(b_3,b_2,b_1,b_0),(c_3,c_2,c_1,c_0)]$$

Circuit complexity cost is only log D, not D. (Exponential gain, true boson sampling)

$$\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}^{+}\left(\tilde{B}^{2}\mathrm{B}_{2}\right) + e^{-}$$

Phys. Rev. X 10, 021060 (2020)

'exact' (cyan), single bit extraction (purple) and sampling (red) measurement



Microwave bosons to simulate vibrational bosons is highly advantageous. Would have required >8 qubits and $\sim 10^3$ gates in an 'ordinary' quantum computer.

Conical Intersections and vision

Polli et al. *Nature* (2010).



Quantum Circuit Tackles "Diabolical" Photochemical Process

January 26, 2023• Physics 16, s14

APS Carin Cain

Microwave Boson Simulation Experiment: Chris Wang (Schoelkopf lab) Phys. Rev. X 13, 011008 (2023)

Breakdown of Born-Oppenheimer adiabatic approximation Environmental dissipation

Conical Intersections activate human vision

https://physics.aps.org/articles/v16/s14

trans/cis photoisomerization in retinal chromophore







QuantumInstitute.yale.edu



I. Chuang L. Jiang S. Girvin

& many others!

Devoret Lab

