Heavy Electron Physics: Electrons on the edge of Magnetism

Piers Coleman, Rutgers CMT, NJ, USA

Boulder, Colorado July 2008. Lectures will be given on the blackboard These viewgraphs are supplementary.

1. Landau Fermi liquids and Heavy Fermions.

2. Local moments and the Kondo effect.

3. Large N approach to the Kondo lattice.



Supported by NSF DMR

Notes:

"Heavy Fermions: electrons at the edge of magnetism." PC. cond-mat/0612006.

"Local moment physics in heavy electron systems", PC, cond-mat/0206003

<u>General reading:</u>

Many Body Physics "unfinished frontier", PC, cond-mat/0307004.

The Theory of Quantum Liquids, Nozieres and Pines (Perseus 1999).

Quantum Criticality, P. Coleman and Andrew Schofield, Nature, 433, 226-229 (2005), cond-mat/0503002.



Lecture I:

- 1. Overview of heavy fermion physics.
- 2. Landau Fermi liquid Theory.
- 3. Heavy Fermions from the Landau Perspective.









A lot of action takes place on the brink of localization!

Heavy Fermions: f-spins are always localized, yet.....



A lot of action takes place on the brink of localization!

Heavy Fermions: f-spins are always localized, yet..... High Temperatures : local moment metals.



A lot of action takes place on the brink of localization!

Heavy Fermions: f-spins are always localized, yet..... High Temperatures : local moment metals. Low Temperatures : Spins "quench" to form heavy fermions.



Localized 4f or 5f Moment.



Localized 4f or 5f Moment.

Low lying magnetic multiplet N = 2j +1



Localized 4f or 5f Moment.

Low lying magnetic multiplet N = 2j +1

e.g Ce³⁺ | 4f¹: j m >

L=3, S = 1/2, j= L-S = 5/2

 $\chi = n_i \frac{M^2}{3T}$

$$M^2 = g_J^2 \mu_B^2 J(J+1),$$

Localized 4f or 5f Moment.

Low lying magnetic multiplet N = 2j +1

e.g Ce³⁺ | 4f¹: j m >

$$\chi = n_i \frac{M^2}{3T} \qquad M^2 = g_J^2 \mu_B^2 J (J+1),$$

$$S_Q = k_B \ln(2J+1) \qquad \text{spin entropy}$$

Localized 4f or 5f Moment.

Low lying magnetic multiplet N = 2j +1

e.g Ce³⁺ | 4f¹: j m >

$$E_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{p^2}{2m^*}, \qquad N(0) = \frac{m^* p_F}{\pi^2 \hbar^3}.$$



$$E_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{p^2}{2m^*}, \qquad N(0) = \frac{m^* p_F}{\pi^2 \hbar^3}.$$

$$\chi = \frac{\mu_B^2 N^*(0)}{1 + F_0^a}$$

$$\gamma = \operatorname{Lim}_{T \to 0} \left(\frac{C_V}{T}\right) = \frac{\pi^2 k_B^2}{3} N(0)^*.$$

$$E_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{p^2}{2m^*}, \qquad N(0) = \frac{m^* p_F}{\pi^2 \hbar^3}.$$

$$\chi = \frac{\mu_B^2 N^*(0)}{1 + F_0^a}$$

$$\gamma = \operatorname{Lim}_{T \to 0} \left(\frac{C_V}{T}\right) = \frac{\pi^2 k_B^2}{3} N(0)^*.$$

$$W = \frac{\chi}{\gamma} = 3 \left(\frac{\mu_B}{2\pi k_B}\right)^2 \frac{1}{1 + F_0^a}$$
"Wilson" or "Sommerfeld" ratio.

"Wilson" or "Sommerfeld" ratio.

10-3 10-2 X(O) (emu/mole.f.atom)

10-1



$$E_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{p^2}{2m^*}, \qquad N(0) = \frac{m^* p_F}{\pi^2 \hbar^3}.$$

$$\chi = \frac{\mu_B^2 N^*(0)}{1 + F_0^a}$$

$$\gamma = \operatorname{Lim}_{T \to 0} \left(\frac{C_V}{T}\right) = \frac{\pi^2 k_B^2}{3} N(0)^*.$$

$$W = \frac{\chi}{\gamma} = 3 \left(\frac{\mu_B}{2\pi k_B}\right)^2 \frac{1}{1 + F_0^a}$$
eg Cu vs CeCu₆ (copper, spin doped)

X(O) (emu/mole · f · atom)

eg Cu vs CeCu₆ (copper, spin doped) γCu ~ 1 mJ/mol/K²,



Thursday, July 10, 2008

Review: cond-mat/0612006



 UBe_{13}



Review: cond-mat/0612006



UBe₁₃



Review: cond-mat/0612006



UBe₁₃



"Kondo Effect"

Review: cond-mat/0612006



Review: cond-mat/0612006



Review: cond-mat/0612006



Thursday, July 10, 2008

Review: cond-mat/0612006



Thursday, July 10, 2008

| Туре | Material | T^* | T_c, x_c, B_c | Properties | ρ | γ_n m Imol ⁻¹ K ⁻² | Ref. |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|------|
| Metal | $CeCu_6$ | 10 <i>K</i> | - | Simple HF Metal | T^2 | 1600 | [1] |
| Super- conductors | $CeCu_2Si_2$ | 20K | T_c =0.17K | First HFSC | T^2 | 800-1250 | [2] |
| | UBe_{13} | 2.5K | T_c =0.86K | $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Incoherent} \\ {\rm metal} {\rightarrow} {\rm HFSC} \end{array}$ | $\rho_c \sim$ 150 $\mu\Omega$ cm | 800 | [3] |
| | $CeCoIn_5$ | 38K | $T_c=2.3\mathrm{K}$ | Quasi 2D HFSC | Т | 750 | [4] |
| Kondo Insulators | $Ce_3Pt_4Bi_3$ | $T_\chi \sim 80 K$ | - | Fully Gapped KI | $\sim e^{\Delta/T}$ | - | [5] |
| | CeNiSn | $T_{\chi} \sim 20 K$ | - | Nodal KI | Poor Metal | - | [6] |
| Quantum Critical | $CeCu_{6-x}Au_x$ | $T_0 \sim 10 K$ | $x_{c} = 0.1$ | Chemically tuned QCP | т | $\sim rac{1}{T_0} \ln \left(rac{T_0}{T} ight)$ | [7] |
| | $YbRh_2Si_2$ | $T_0\sim 24K$ | B_{\perp} =0.06T B_{\parallel} =0.66T | Field-tuned QCP | т | $\sim rac{1}{T_0} \ln \left(rac{T_0}{T} ight)$ | [8] |
| SC + other Order | UPd_2Al_3 | 110K | T_{AF} =14K, T_{sc} =2K | AFM + HFSC | T^2 | 210 | [9] |
| | URu_2Si_2 | 75K | $T_1=17.5$ K, $T_{sc}=1.3$ K | Hidden Order & HFSC | T^2 | 120/65 | [10] |

Tour of Heavy Fermion Systems

| Туре | Material | T^{\star} | T_c, x_c, B_c | Properties | ρ | γ_n $m Imol^{-1}K^{-2}$ | Ref. |
|------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|------|
| Metal | $CeCu_6$ | 10K | - | Simple HF Metal | T^2 | 1600 | [1] |
| | $CeCu_2Si_2$ | 20K | T_c =0.17K | First HFSC | T^2 | 800-1250 | [2] |
| Super- conductors | UBe_{13} | 2.5K | T_c =0.86K | $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Incoherent} \\ {\rm metal} {\rightarrow} {\rm HFSC} \end{array}$ | $\rho_c \sim$ 150 $\mu\Omega$ cm | 800 | [3] |
| | $CeCoIn_5$ | 38K | $T_c=2.3\mathrm{K}$ | Quasi 2D HFSC | Т | 750 | [4] |
| Kondo Insulators | $Ce_3Pt_4Bi_3$ | $T_\chi \sim 80 K$ | - | Fully Gapped KI | $\sim e^{\Delta/T}$ | - | [5] |
| | CeNiSn | $T_\chi\sim 20K$ | - | Nodal KI | Poor Metal | - | [6] |
| Quantum Critical | $CeCu_{6-x}Au_x$ | $T_0 \sim 10 K$ | $x_{c} = 0.1$ | Chemically tuned QCP | т | $\sim rac{1}{T_0} \ln \left(rac{T_0}{T} ight)$ | [7] |
| | $YbRh_2Si_2$ | $T_0\sim 24K$ | B_{\perp} =0.06T B_{\parallel} =0.66T | Field-tuned QCP | т | $\sim rac{1}{T_0} \ln \left(rac{T_0}{T} ight)$ | [8] |
| SC + other Order | UPd_2Al_3 | 110K | T_{AF} =14K, T_{sc} =2K | AFM + HFSC | T^2 | 210 | [9] |
| | URu_2Si_2 | 75K | $T_1=17.5$ K, $T_{sc}=1.3$ K | Hidden Order & HFSC | T^2 | 120/65 | [10] |





Spin entropy contributes to the Superconducting and Fermi liquid thermodyanmics. Spins are forming the heavy fermions which are themselves pairing!

Thursday, July 10, 2008

| Туре | Material | T^* | T_c, x_c, B_c | Properties | ρ | γ_n | Ref. |
|------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|------|
| Metal | $CeCu_6$ | 10K | - | Simple HF Metal | T^2 | 1600 | [1] |
| | $CeCu_2Si_2$ | 20K | T_c =0.17K | First HFSC | T^2 | 800-1250 | [2] |
| Super- conductors | UBe_{13} | 2.5K | T_c =0.86K | $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Incoherent} \\ {\rm metal} {\rightarrow} {\rm HFSC} \end{array}$ | $\rho_c \sim$ 150 $\mu\Omega$ cm | 800 | [3] |
| | $CeCoIn_5$ | 38K | $T_c=2.3\mathrm{K}$ | Quasi 2D HFSC | Т | 750 | [4] |
| Kondo | $Ce_3Pt_4Bi_3$ | $T_\chi \sim 80 K$ | - | Fully Gapped KI | $\sim e^{\Delta/T}$ | - | [5] |
| Insulators | CeNiSn | $T_\chi\sim 20K$ | - | Nodal KI | Poor Metal | - | [6] |
| Quantum | $CeCu_{6-x}Au_x$ | $T_0 \sim 10 K$ | $x_{c} = 0.1$ | Chemically tuned QCP | т | $\sim rac{1}{T_0} \ln \left(rac{T_0}{T} ight)$ | [7] |
| Critical | $YbRh_2Si_2$ | $T_0\sim 24K$ | B_{\perp} =0.06T B_{\parallel} =0.66T | Field-tuned QCP | т | $\sim rac{1}{T_0} \ln \left(rac{T_0}{T} ight)$ | [8] |
| SC + other Order | UPd_2Al_3 | 110K | T_{AF} =14K, T_{sc} =2K | AFM + HFSC | T^2 | 210 | [9] |
| | URu_2Si_2 | 75K | $T_1=17.5K,$ $T_{sc}=1.3K$ | Hidden Order & HFSC | T^2 | 120/65 | [10] |



CeCu₆: From dilute impurity to dense "Kondo lattice", showing Development of coherence



| Туре | Material | T^* | T_c, x_c, B_c | Properties | ρ | γ_n $m Imol^{-1}K^{-2}$ | Ref. |
|------------------------|------------------|--------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|------|
| Metal | $CeCu_6$ | 10K | - | Simple HF Metal | T^2 | 1600 | [1] |
| | $CeCu_2Si_2$ | 20K | T_c =0.17K | First HFSC | T^2 | 800-1250 | [2] |
| Super- conductors | UBe_{13} | 2.5K | T_c =0.86K | $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Incoherent} \\ {\rm metal} {\rightarrow} {\rm HFSC} \end{array}$ | $\rho_c \sim$ 150 $\mu\Omega$ cm | 800 | [3] |
| | $CeCoIn_5$ | 38K | $T_c=2.3\mathrm{K}$ | Quasi 2D HFSC | Т | 750 | [4] |
| Kondo | $Ce_3Pt_4Bi_3$ | $T_\chi \sim 80 K$ | - | Fully Gapped KI | $\sim e^{\Delta/T}$ | - | [5] |
| Insulators | CeNiSn | $T_\chi\sim 20K$ | - | Nodal KI | Poor Metal | - | [6] |
| Quantum Critical | $CeCu_{6-x}Au_x$ | $T_0 \sim 10 K$ | $x_{c} = 0.1$ | Chemically tuned QCP | т | $\sim rac{1}{T_0} \ln \left(rac{T_0}{T} ight)$ | [7] |
| | $YbRh_2Si_2$ | $T_0\sim 24K$ | B_{\perp} =0.06T B_{\parallel} =0.66T | Field-tuned QCP | т | $\sim rac{1}{T_0} \ln \left(rac{T_0}{T} ight)$ | [8] |
| SC + other Order | UPd_2Al_3 | 110K | T_{AF} =14K, T_{sc} =2K | AFM + HFSC | T^2 | 210 | [9] |
| | URu_2Si_2 | 75K | $T_1=17.5 \text{K},$ $T_{sc}=1.3 \text{K}$ | Hidden Order & HFSC | T^2 | 120/65 | [10] |

CeBi₄Pt₃: Kondo Insulator.



| Туре | Material | T^* | T_c, x_c, B_c | Properties | ρ | γ_n m Imol ⁻¹ K ⁻² | Ref. |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|------|
| Metal | $CeCu_6$ | 10K | - | Simple HF Metal | T^2 | 1600 | [1] |
| | $CeCu_2Si_2$ | 20K | $T_c {=} 0.17 {\rm K}$ | First HFSC | T^2 | 800-1250 | [2] |
| Super- conductors | UBe_{13} | 2.5K | T_c =0.86K | $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Incoherent} \\ {\rm metal} {\rightarrow} {\rm HFSC} \end{array}$ | $\rho_c \sim$ 150 $\mu\Omega$ cm | 800 | [3] |
| | $CeCoIn_5$ | 38K | $T_c=2.3\mathrm{K}$ | Quasi 2D HFSC | Т | 750 | [4] |
| Kondo Insulators | $Ce_3Pt_4Bi_3$ | $T_{\chi} \sim 80 K$ | - | Fully Gapped KI | $\sim e^{\Delta/T}$ | - | [5] |
| | CeNiSn | $T_\chi\sim 20K$ | - | Nodal KI | Poor Metal | - | [6] |
| Quantum Critical | $CeCu_{6-x}Au_x$ | $T_0 \sim 10 K$ | $x_{c} = 0.1$ | Chemically tuned QCP | т | $\sim rac{1}{T_0} \ln \left(rac{T_0}{T} ight)$ | [7] |
| | $YbRh_2Si_2$ | $T_0\sim 24K$ | B_{\perp} =0.06T B_{\parallel} =0.66T | Field-tuned QCP | т | $\sim rac{1}{T_0} \ln \left(rac{T_0}{T} ight)$ | [8] |
| SC + other Order | UPd_2Al_3 | 110K | T_{AF} =14K, T_{sc} =2K | AFM + HFSC | T^2 | 210 | [9] |
| | URu_2Si_2 | 75K | $T_1=17.5$ K, $T_{sc}=1.3$ K | Hidden Order & HFSC | T^2 | 120/65 | [10] |

115 Materials: layered heavy electron superconductors.


115 Materials: layered heavy electron superconductors.



CeRhIn5



Data: Tuson Park Figure rendition: Mathias Graf

| Туре | Material | T^* | T_c, x_c, B_c | Properties | ρ | γ_n $m Imol^{-1}K^{-2}$ | Ref. |
|------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|------|
| Metal | $CeCu_6$ | 10K | - | Simple HF Metal | T^2 | 1600 | [1] |
| Super- conductors | $CeCu_2Si_2$ | 20K | T_c =0.17K | First HFSC | T^2 | 800-1250 | [2] |
| | UBe_{13} | 2.5K | T_c =0.86K | $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Incoherent} \\ {\rm metal} {\rightarrow} {\rm HFSC} \end{array}$ | $\rho_c \sim$ 150 $\mu\Omega$ cm | 800 | [3] |
| | $CeCoIn_5$ | 38K | $T_c=2.3\mathrm{K}$ | Quasi 2D HFSC | Т | 750 | [4] |
| Kondo Insulators | $Ce_3Pt_4Bi_3$ | $T_\chi \sim 80 K$ | - | Fully Gapped KI | $\sim e^{\Delta/T}$ | - | [5] |
| | CeNiSn | $T_\chi\sim 20K$ | - | Nodal KI | Poor Metal | - | [6] |
| Quantum Critical | $CeCu_{6-x}Au_x$ | $T_0 \sim 10 K$ | $x_{c} = 0.1$ | Chemically tuned QCP | т | $\sim rac{1}{T_0} \ln \left(rac{T_0}{T} ight)$ | [7] |
| | $YbRh_2Si_2$ | $T_0\sim 24K$ | B_{\perp} =0.06T B_{\parallel} =0.66T | Field-tuned QCP | т | $\sim rac{1}{T_0} \ln \left(rac{T_0}{T} ight)$ | [8] |
| SC + other Order | UPd_2Al_3 | 110K | T_{AF} =14K, T_{sc} =2K | AFM + HFSC | T^2 | 210 | [9] |
| | URu_2Si_2 | 75K | $T_1=17.5$ K, $T_{sc}=1.3$ K | Hidden Order & HFSC | T^2 | 120/65 | [10] |

YbRh₂Si₂: Field tuned quantum criticality.



Custers et al, (2003)

Thursday, July 10, 2008

| Туре | Material | T^* | T_c, x_c, B_c | Properties | ρ | γ_n m Im $a^{l-1}K^{-2}$ | Ref. |
|------------------------|------------------|--------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|------|
| Metal | $CeCu_6$ | 10K | - | Simple HF Metal | T^2 | 1600 | [1] |
| Super- conductors | $CeCu_2Si_2$ | 20K | T_c =0.17K | First HFSC | T^2 | 800-1250 | [2] |
| | UBe_{13} | 2.5K | T_c =0.86K | $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Incoherent} \\ {\rm metal} {\rightarrow} {\rm HFSC} \end{array}$ | $\rho_c \sim$ 150 $\mu\Omega$ cm | 800 | [3] |
| | $CeCoIn_5$ | 38K | $T_c=2.3\mathrm{K}$ | Quasi 2D HFSC | Т | 750 | [4] |
| Kondo Insulators | $Ce_3Pt_4Bi_3$ | $T_\chi \sim 80 K$ | - | Fully Gapped KI | $\sim e^{\Delta/T}$ | - | [5] |
| | CeNiSn | $T_\chi\sim 20K$ | - | Nodal KI | Poor Metal | - | [6] |
| Quantum Critical | $CeCu_{6-x}Au_x$ | $T_0 \sim 10 K$ | $x_{c} = 0.1$ | Chemically tuned QCP | т | $\sim rac{1}{T_0} \ln \left(rac{T_0}{T} ight)$ | [7] |
| | $YbRh_2Si_2$ | $T_0\sim 24K$ | B_{\perp} =0.06T B_{\parallel} =0.66T | Field-tuned QCP | т | $\sim rac{1}{T_0} \ln \left(rac{T_0}{T} ight)$ | [8] |
| SC + other Order | UPd_2Al_3 | 110K | T_{AF} =14K, T_{sc} =2K | AFM + HFSC | T^2 | 210 | [9] |
| | URu_2Si_2 | 75K | $T_1=17.5 \text{K},$ $T_{sc}=1.3 \text{K}$ | Hidden Order & HFSC | T^2 | 120/65 | [10] |

UPd₂Al₃: Coexistent antiferromagnetism and nodal superconductivity.









 $\begin{array}{c}
0 & 0.2 \\
0.4 \\
\lambda \\
0.6 \\
H = H_0 + \lambda V \\
1.0
\end{array}$

Interactions can be turned on adiabatically, preserving the excitation spectrum. Landau, JETP 3, 920 (1957)



Interactions can be turned on adiabatically, preserving the excitation spectrum. Landau, JETP 3, 920 (1957)

States labelled by same quantum nos as non-interacting Fermi liquid

$$\Psi = |n_{\mathbf{p}_1\sigma_1}, n_{\mathbf{p}_2\sigma_2}, \dots \rangle$$



Interactions can be turned on adiabatically, preserving the excitation spectrum. Landau, JETP 3, 920 (1957)

States labelled by same quantum nos as non-interacting Fermi liquid

$$\Psi = |n_{\mathbf{p}_1 \sigma_1}, n_{\mathbf{p}_2 \sigma_2}, \dots \rangle$$

"Quasiparticle"





Interactions can be turned on adiabatically, preserving the excitation spectrum. Landau, JETP 3, 920 (1957)

States labelled by same quantum nos as non-interacting Fermi liquid

$$\Psi = |n_{\mathbf{p}_1\sigma_1}, n_{\mathbf{p}_2\sigma_2}, \dots \rangle$$







Thursday, July 10, 2008



$$\Psi = |n_{\mathbf{p}_1 \sigma_1}, n_{\mathbf{p}_2 \sigma_2}, \dots \rangle$$



$$\Psi = |n_{\mathbf{p}_1 \sigma_1}, \ n_{\mathbf{p}_2 \sigma_2}, \dots \rangle$$

Ground – state Ψ_{o} : $n_{p} = \begin{cases} 1 & (p < p_{F}) \\ 0 & (otherwise \ p > p_{F}) \end{cases}$



$$\Psi = |n_{\mathbf{p}_1 \sigma_1}, \ n_{\mathbf{p}_2 \sigma_2}, \dots \rangle$$

Ground – state
$$\Psi_{o}$$
 : $n_{p} = \begin{cases} 1 & (p < p_{F}) \\ 0 & (otherwise \ p > p_{F}) \end{cases}$
Quasi – particle : $\Psi_{p_{o}\sigma_{o}}$ $n_{p\sigma} = \begin{cases} 1 & (p < p_{F} \ and \ p = p_{o}, \sigma = \sigma_{o}) \\ 0 & (otherwise) \end{cases}$



$$\Psi = |n_{\mathbf{p}_1 \sigma_1}, \ n_{\mathbf{p}_2 \sigma_2}, \dots \rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Ground} - \text{state } \Psi_{\text{o}} & : & n_{\text{p}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & (\text{p} < \text{p}_{\text{F}}) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise } \text{p} > \text{p}_{\text{F}}) \end{array} \right. \\ \text{Quasi} - \text{particle} : & \Psi_{\text{p}_{\text{o}}}\sigma_{\text{o}} & n_{\text{p}}\sigma = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & (\text{p} < \text{p}_{\text{F}} \text{ and } \text{p} = \text{p}_{\text{o}}, \sigma = \sigma_{\text{o}}) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}_{o}}^{(0)} = E_{\mathbf{p}_{o}}^{(0)} - \mu = \mathcal{E}(p_{o}) - \mathcal{E}_{o}$$

Quasiparticle excitation energy.

 $\tau^{-1}(\epsilon) \propto (\epsilon^2 + \pi^2 T^2)$

$$\tau^{-1}(\epsilon) \propto (\epsilon^2 + \pi^2 T^2)$$

$$\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(o)}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} (E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} - \mu) \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}',\sigma,\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} + \dots$$

$$\tau^{-1}(\epsilon) \propto (\epsilon^2 + \pi^2 T^2)$$

$$\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(o)}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} (E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} - \mu) \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}',\sigma,\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} + \dots$$

QP energy

$$\begin{split} \epsilon^{(0)}_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} &\equiv E^{(0)}_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - \mu = \left. \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}} \right|_{\delta n_{p'\sigma'} = 0} \\ \epsilon^{(0)}_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} &= \epsilon^{(0)}_{\mathbf{p}} - \sigma \mu_F B \end{split}$$

$$\tau^{-1}(\epsilon) \propto (\epsilon^2 + \pi^2 T^2)$$

$$\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(o)}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} (E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} - \mu) \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}',\sigma,\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} + \dots$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{QP energy} & \text{QP interaction:} \\ \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} \equiv E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} - \mu = \left. \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}} \right|_{\delta n_{p'\sigma'}=0} & f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} = \left. \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{E}}{\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'}} \right|_{\delta n_{\mathbf{p}''\sigma''}=0} \\ \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}^{(0)} - \sigma \mu_F B & \end{array}$$

$$\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(o)}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} (E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} - \mu) \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}',\sigma,\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} + \dots$$

$$\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(o)}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} (E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} - \mu) \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}',\sigma,\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} + \dots$$

QP interaction:

$$f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} = \left. \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{E}}{\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'}} \right|_{\delta n_{\mathbf{p}''\sigma''}} = 0$$

~

$$\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(o)}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} (E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} - \mu) \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}',\sigma,\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} + \dots$$



$$\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(o)}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} (E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} - \mu) \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}',\sigma,\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} + \dots$$



"Fixed point" Hamiltonian (Shankar, RMP 94)

$$H_{FP} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} (E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} - \mu) \hat{n}_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p},\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} \hat{n}_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \hat{n}_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'}$$

Thursday, July 10, 2008

$$\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(o)}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} (E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} - \mu) \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}',\sigma,\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} + \dots$$

$$\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(o)}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} (E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} - \mu) \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}',\sigma,\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} + \dots$$

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \equiv E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - \mu$$

$$\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(o)}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} (E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} - \mu) \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}',\sigma,\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} + \dots$$

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \equiv E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - \mu$$

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} + \sum_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}',\sigma'} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'}.$$

$$\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(o)}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} (E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} - \mu) \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}',\sigma,\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} + \dots$$

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \equiv E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - \mu$$

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} + \sum_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}',\sigma'} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} [\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'}]$$

$$\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(o)}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} (E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} - \mu) \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}',\sigma,\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} + \dots$$

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \equiv E_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - \mu$$

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} + \sum_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}',\sigma'} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} [\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'}]$$

Thursday, July 10, 2008

Entropy and qp occupancy

$$S = -k_B \sum_{\mathbf{p},\sigma} [n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \ln n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + (1 - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}) \ln(1 - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma})]$$

$$\delta F = d\mathcal{E} - TdS = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \left[\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + k_B T \ln\left(\frac{n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}}{1 - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}}\right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^2) = 0.$$

Entropy and qp occupancy

$$S = -k_B \sum_{\mathbf{p},\sigma} [n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \ln n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + (1 - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}) \ln (1 - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma})]$$

$$\delta F = d\mathcal{E} - TdS = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \left[\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + k_B T \ln \left(\frac{n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}}{1 - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^2) = 0.$$

$$n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \frac{1}{e^{\beta\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}} + 1} = f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma})$$

Entropy and qp occupancy

$$S = -k_B \sum_{\mathbf{p},\sigma} [n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \ln n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + (1 - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}) \ln(1 - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma})]$$

$$\delta F = d\mathcal{E} - TdS = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \left[\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + k_B T \ln\left(\frac{n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}}{1 - n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}}\right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^2) = 0.$$

$$n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \frac{1}{e^{\beta\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}} + 1} = f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma})$$

Note the strong feedback implicit in this statement.
$$\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} + \sum_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}',\sigma'} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'}.$$

Linear Specific Heat

$$n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \frac{1}{e^{\beta\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}} + 1} = f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma})$$

Linear Specific Heat

$$n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \frac{1}{e^{\beta\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}} + 1} = f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma})$$
$$n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}^{(0)}) \qquad (T \to 0, \ \delta n_{\mathbf{p}} \to 0)$$
Linear Specific Heat $n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \frac{1}{e^{\beta\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}} + 1} = f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma})$ $n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}^{(0)})$ $(T \to 0, \ \delta n_{\mathbf{p}} \to 0)$



Linear Specific Heat $n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \frac{1}{e^{\beta\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}} + 1} = f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma})$ $n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}^{(0)}) \qquad (T \to 0, \ \delta n_{\mathbf{p}} \to 0)$



$$v_F = \left. \frac{d\epsilon_p^{(0)}}{dp} \right|_{p=p_F} = \frac{p_F}{m^*}.$$





$$v_F = \left. \frac{d\epsilon_p^{(0)}}{dp} \right|_{p=p_F} = \frac{p_F}{m^*}. \qquad \qquad N^*(0) = \frac{m^* p_F}{\pi^2 \hbar^3}. \qquad \text{Density of states.}$$





$$v_F = \left. \frac{d\epsilon_p^{(0)}}{dp} \right|_{p=p_F} = \frac{p_F}{m^*}. \qquad N^*(0) = \frac{m^* p_F}{\pi^2 \hbar^3}. \quad \text{Density of states.}$$
$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \to N^*(0) \int d\epsilon$$

 $N^*(0) = \frac{m^* p_F}{\pi^2 \hbar^3}$. Density of states.







$$N^{*}(0) = \frac{m^{*}p_{F}}{\pi^{2}\hbar^{3}}.$$
 Density of states.
$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \rightarrow N^{*}(0) \int d\epsilon$$



$$d\mathcal{E} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} \frac{\partial f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)})}{\partial T} dT$$

$$N^{*}(0) = \frac{m^{*}p_{F}}{\pi^{2}\hbar^{3}}.$$
 Density of states.
$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \rightarrow N^{*}(0) \int d\epsilon$$



$$d\mathcal{E} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} \frac{\partial f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)})}{\partial T} dT$$

$$C_V = \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dT} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} \left(\frac{\partial f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)})}{\partial T} \right) \to N^*(0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon \, \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial f(\epsilon)}{\partial T} \right),$$

$$N^{*}(0) = \frac{m^{*}p_{F}}{\pi^{2}\hbar^{3}}.$$
 Density of states.
$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \rightarrow N^{*}(0) \int d\epsilon$$



$$d\mathcal{E} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} \frac{\partial f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)})}{\partial T} dT$$
$$k_B^2 T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{x^2}{(e^x + 1)(e^{-x} + 1)} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} k_B^2 T$$
$$C_V = \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dT} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} \left(\frac{\partial f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)})}{\partial T} \right) \to N^*(0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon \ \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial f(\epsilon)}{\partial T} \right),$$

$$N^{*}(0) = \frac{m^{*}p_{F}}{\pi^{2}\hbar^{3}}.$$
 Density of states.
$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \rightarrow N^{*}(0) \int d\epsilon$$



$$d\mathcal{E} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} \frac{\partial f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)})}{\partial T} dT$$
$$k_B^2 T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{x^2}{(e^x + 1)(e^{-x} + 1)} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} k_B^2 T$$
$$C_V = \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dT} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} \left(\frac{\partial f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)})}{\partial T}\right) \to N^*(0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon \ \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial f(\epsilon)}{\partial T}\right),$$
$$C_V = \gamma T, \qquad \gamma = \frac{\pi^2 k_B^2}{3} N^*(0).$$

The interaction can be expanded in terms of a small set of Landau Parameters which parameterize permit the low energy effects of interactions.

The interaction can be expanded in terms of a small set of Landau Parameters which parameterize permit the low energy effects of interactions.

$$f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}',\sigma'} = f^s_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'} + f^a_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'}\sigma\sigma'.$$

The interaction can be expanded in terms of a small set of Landau Parameters which parameterize permit the low energy effects of interactions.

$$f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}',\sigma'} = f^s_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'} + f^a_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'}\sigma\sigma'.$$

The interaction can be expanded in terms of a small set of Landau Parameters which parameterize permit the low energy effects of interactions.

$$f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}',\sigma'} = f^s_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'} + f^a_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'}\sigma\sigma'.$$

$$f_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'}^{s,a} = f^{s,a}(\cos\theta)_{\cos\theta = \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}'}$$

The interaction can be expanded in terms of a small set of Landau Parameters which parameterize permit the low energy effects of interactions.

$$f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}',\sigma'} = f^s_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'} + f^a_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'}\sigma\sigma'.$$

$$f_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'}^{s,a} = f^{s,a}(\cos\theta)_{\cos\theta = \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}'}$$

$$f^{s,a}(\cos \theta) = \frac{1}{N^*(0)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) F_l^{s,a} P_l(\cos \theta).$$

Landau Parameters

The interaction can be expanded in terms of a small set of Landau Parameters which parameterize permit the low energy effects of interactions.

$$f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}',\sigma'} = f^s_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'} + f^a_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'}\sigma\sigma'.$$

$$f_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'}^{s,a} = f^{s,a}(\cos\theta)_{\cos\theta = \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}'}$$

$$f^{s,a}(\cos \theta) = \frac{1}{N^*(0)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) F_l^{s,a} P_l(\cos \theta).$$

Landau Parameters

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} dc \ P_l(c) P_{l'}(c) = (2l+1)^{-1} \delta_{l,l'}$$

The interaction can be expanded in terms of a small set of Landau Parameters which parameterize permit the low energy effects of interactions.

$$f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}',\sigma'} = f^s_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'} + f^a_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'}\sigma\sigma'.$$

$$f_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'}^{s,a} = f^{s,a}(\cos\theta)_{\cos\theta = \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}'}$$

$$f^{s,a}(\cos\theta) = \frac{1}{N^*(0)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) F_l^{s,a} P_l(\cos\theta).$$

Landau Parameters

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} dc \ P_{l}(c) P_{l'}(c) = (2l+1)^{-1} \delta_{l,l'}$$

$$F_{l}^{s,a} = \frac{N^{*}(0)}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} dc \ f^{s,a}(c) P_{l}(c)$$

The interaction can be expanded in terms of a small set of Landau Parameters which parameterize permit the low energy effects of interactions. $f(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta} \neq f^s_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\gamma\eta} + f^a_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{\gamma\eta}$ Rotational invariance

$$f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}',\sigma'} = f^s_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'} + f^a_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'}\sigma\sigma'.$$

$$f_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'}^{s,a} = f^{s,a}(\cos\theta)_{\cos\theta = \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}'}$$

$$f^{s,a}(\cos\theta) = \frac{1}{N^*(0)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) F_l^{s,a} P_l(\cos\theta).$$

Landau Parameters

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} dc \ P_{l}(c) P_{l'}(c) = (2l+1)^{-1} \delta_{l,l'} \\ &F_{l}^{s,a} = \frac{N^{*}(0)}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} dc \ f^{s,a}(c) P_{l}(c) \\ &F_{l}^{s,a} = 2 \sum_{\mathbf{p}'} f_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'}^{s,a} P_{l}(\cos \theta_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'}) \delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}'}). \end{split}$$
(*)

Thursday, July 10, 2008

Each Landau Parameter captures the change in the quasiparticle energy corresponding to a given distortion of the Fermi surface.



Each Landau Parameter captures the change in the quasiparticle energy corresponding to a given distortion of the Fermi surface.





$$\delta \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} = b_l P_l(\cos \theta)$$
$$\delta \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = a_l P_l(\cos \theta)$$

Each Landau Parameter captures the change in the quasiparticle energy corresponding to a given distortion of the Fermi surface.



If we plug

$$\delta \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} = b_l P_l(\cos \theta)$$
$$\delta \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = a_l P_l(\cos \theta)$$

into

$$\delta \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \delta \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} + \sum_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} \delta f[\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'}].$$

 $F_l^{s,a} = 2\sum f_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'}^{s,a} P_l(\cos\theta_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'})\delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}'}).$ \mathbf{p}' Each Landau Parameter captures the change in the quasiparticle energy corresponding to a given distortion of the Fermi surface.



$$\delta \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} = b_l P_l(\cos \theta)$$
$$\delta \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = a_l P_l(\cos \theta)$$

into

$$\delta \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \delta \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} + \sum_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} \delta f[\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'}].$$

Then using (*), we find that this relation can be rewritten:

$$a_l = b_l - F_l^s a_l$$

Thursday, July 10, 2008

Each Landau Parameter captures the change in the quasiparticle energy corresponding to a given distortion of the Fermi surface.



If we plug

$$\delta \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} = b_l P_l(\cos \theta)$$
$$\delta \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = a_l P_l(\cos \theta)$$

into

$$\delta \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \delta \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(0)} + \sum_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} f_{\mathbf{p}\sigma\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} \delta f[\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}'\sigma'}].$$

Then using (*), we find that this relation can be rewritten:

$$a_l = b_l - F_l^s a_l \qquad \qquad a_l = \frac{b_l}{1 + F_l^s}$$

or:

Thursday, July 10, 2008

The feedback effects renormalize the Fermi surface susceptibilities.

$$\chi_s = \mu_B \frac{\partial (N_{\uparrow} - N_{\downarrow})}{\partial B} \qquad \chi_c = \frac{\partial N}{\partial \mu}$$

The feedback effects renormalize the Fermi surface susceptibilities.

$$\chi_s = \mu_B \frac{\partial (N_{\uparrow} - N_{\downarrow})}{\partial B} \qquad \chi_c = \frac{\partial N}{\partial \mu}$$

$$\chi_s = \frac{\mu_B^2 N^*(0)}{1 + F_0^a} = \mu_B^2 N^*(0)(1 - A_0^a)$$

The feedback effects renormalize the Fermi surface susceptibilities.

$$\chi_s = \mu_B \frac{\partial (N_{\uparrow} - N_{\downarrow})}{\partial B} \qquad \chi_c = \frac{\partial N}{\partial \mu}$$

$$\chi_s = \frac{\mu_B^2 N^*(0)}{1 + F_0^a} = \mu_B^2 N^*(0)(1 - A_0^a)$$
$$\chi_c = \frac{N^*(0)}{1 + F_0^s} = N^*(0)(1 - A_0^s)$$

The feedback effects renormalize the Fermi surface susceptibilities.

$$\chi_s = \mu_B \frac{\partial (N_{\uparrow} - N_{\downarrow})}{\partial B} \qquad \chi_c = \frac{\partial N}{\partial \mu}$$

$$\chi_s = \frac{\mu_B^2 N^*(0)}{1 + F_0^a} = \mu_B^2 N^*(0)(1 - A_0^a)$$
$$\chi_c = \frac{N^*(0)}{1 + F_0^s} = N^*(0)(1 - A_0^s)$$

where the quantities

$$A_0^s = \frac{F_0^s}{1 + F_0^s}$$

$$A_0^a = \frac{F_0^a}{1 + F_0^a}$$

are interpreted as the t-matrix amplitudes for s-wave scattering

Thursday, July 10, 2008

| PROPERTY | NON-INTERACTING | LANDAU FERMI LIQUID |
|--|--|---|
| Fermi momentum | p_F | unchanged |
| Density of particles | $2\frac{V_{FS}}{(2\pi)^3}$ | unchanged |
| Density of states | $N(0) = \frac{mp_F}{\pi^2 \hbar^3}$ | $N^*(0) = \frac{m^* p_F}{\pi^2 \hbar^3}$ |
| Effective mass | m | $m^* = m \left(1 + F_1^s \right)$ |
| Specific heat Coefficient $C_V = \gamma T$ | $\gamma = \tfrac{\pi^2}{3} k_B^2 N(0)$ | $\gamma = \tfrac{\pi^2}{3} k_B^2 N^*(0)$ |
| Spin susceptibility | $\chi_s = \mu_F^2 N(0)$ | $\chi_s = \mu_F^2 \frac{N^*(0)}{1 + F_0^a}$ |
| Charge Susceptibility | $\chi_C = N(0)$ | $\chi_C = \frac{N^*(0)}{1+F_0^s}$ |
| Collective modes | - | Sound $(\omega \tau \ll 1)$ Zero sound $(\omega \tau \gg 1)$ |

Table. 8.1 Key Properties of the Fermi Liquid

Heavy electrons: "Local Fermi Liquids"

- Single scale T^{*} The quasiparticle density of states ρ^{*} ∼ 1/T^{*} and scattering amplitudes A_{kσ,k'σ'} ∼ T^{*} scale approximately with a single scale T^{*}.
- Almost incompressible. Heavy electron fluids are "almost incompressible", in the sense that the charge susceptibility χ_c = dN_e/dµ << ρ* is unrenormalized and typically more than an order magnitude smaller than the quasiparticle density of states ρ*. This is because the lattice of spins severely modifies the quasiparticle density of states, but leaves the charge density of the fluid n_e(µ), and its dependence on the chemical potential µ unchanged.
- Local. Quasiparticles scatter when in the vicinity of a local moment, giving rise to a small momentum dependence to the Landau scattering amplitudes. (Engelbrecht and Bedell, 1995; Yamada, 1975; Yoshida and Yamada, 1975).

- Single scale T^{*} The quasiparticle density of states ρ^{*} ∼ 1/T^{*} and scattering amplitudes A_{kσ,k'σ'} ∼ T^{*} scale approximately with a single scale T^{*}.
- Almost incompressible. Heavy electron fluids are "almost incompressible", in the sense that the charge susceptibility χ_c = dN_e/dµ << ρ* is unrenormalized and typically more than an order magnitude smaller than the quasiparticle density of states ρ*. This is because the lattice of spins severely modifies the quasiparticle density of states, but leaves the charge density of the fluid n_e(µ), and its dependence on the chemical potential µ unchanged.
- Local. Quasiparticles scatter when in the vicinity of a local moment, giving rise to a small momentum dependence to the Landau scattering amplitudes. (Engelbrecht and Bedell, 1995; Yamada, 1975; Yoshida and Yamada, 1975).

$$A_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} = \frac{1}{N^*(0)} \left(A_0^s + \sigma \sigma' A_0^s \right)$$

- Single scale T^{*} The quasiparticle density of states ρ^{*} ∼ 1/T^{*} and scattering amplitudes A_{kσ,k'σ'} ∼ T^{*} scale approximately with a single scale T^{*}.
- Almost incompressible. Heavy electron fluids are "almost incompressible", in the sense that the charge susceptibility χ_c = dN_e/dµ << ρ* is unrenormalized and typically more than an order magnitude smaller than the quasiparticle density of states ρ*. This is because the lattice of spins severely modifies the quasiparticle density of states, but leaves the charge density of the fluid n_e(µ), and its dependence on the chemical potential µ unchanged.
- Local. Quasiparticles scatter when in the vicinity of a local moment, giving rise to a small momentum dependence to the Landau scattering amplitudes. (Engelbrecht and Bedell, 1995; Yamada, 1975; Yoshida and Yamada, 1975).

$$A_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} = \frac{1}{N^*(0)} \left(A_0^s + \sigma \sigma' A_0^s \right)$$

Moreover, in local scattering the Pauli principle dictates that quasiparticles scattering at the same point can only scatter when in in opposite spin states, so that

- Single scale T^{*} The quasiparticle density of states ρ^{*} ∼ 1/T^{*} and scattering amplitudes A_{kσ,k'σ'} ∼ T^{*} scale approximately with a single scale T^{*}.
- Almost incompressible. Heavy electron fluids are "almost incompressible", in the sense that the charge susceptibility χ_c = dN_e/dµ << ρ* is unrenormalized and typically more than an order magnitude smaller than the quasiparticle density of states ρ*. This is because the lattice of spins severely modifies the quasiparticle density of states, but leaves the charge density of the fluid n_e(µ), and its dependence on the chemical potential µ unchanged.
- Local. Quasiparticles scatter when in the vicinity of a local moment, giving rise to a small momentum dependence to the Landau scattering amplitudes. (Engelbrecht and Bedell, 1995; Yamada, 1975; Yoshida and Yamada, 1975).

$$A_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} = \frac{1}{N^*(0)} \left(A_0^s + \sigma \sigma' A_0^s \right)$$

Moreover, in local scattering the Pauli principle dictates that quasiparticles scattering at the same point can only scatter when in in opposite spin states, so that

$$A^{(0)}_{\uparrow\uparrow} = A^0_s + A^0_a = 0$$

- Single scale T^{*} The quasiparticle density of states ρ^{*} ∼ 1/T^{*} and scattering amplitudes A_{kσ,k'σ'} ∼ T^{*} scale approximately with a single scale T^{*}.
- Almost incompressible. Heavy electron fluids are "almost incompressible", in the sense that the charge susceptibility χ_c = dN_e/dµ << ρ* is unrenormalized and typically more than an order magnitude smaller than the quasiparticle density of states ρ*. This is because the lattice of spins severely modifies the quasiparticle density of states, but leaves the charge density of the fluid n_e(µ), and its dependence on the chemical potential µ unchanged.
- Local. Quasiparticles scatter when in the vicinity of a local moment, giving rise to a small momentum dependence to the Landau scattering amplitudes. (Engelbrecht and Bedell, 1995; Yamada, 1975; Yoshida and Yamada, 1975).

$$A_{\mathbf{p}\sigma,\mathbf{p}'\sigma'} = \frac{1}{N^*(0)} \left(A_0^s + \sigma \sigma' A_0^s \right)$$

Moreover, in local scattering the Pauli principle dictates that quasiparticles scattering at the same point can only scatter when in in opposite spin states, so that

$$A_{\uparrow\uparrow}^{(0)} = A_s^0 + A_a^0 = 0 \qquad \qquad \chi_c \sim (1 - A_0^s) \sim 0$$

and hence $A_s^0 = -A_a^0$. The additional assumption of incompressibility forces $A_0^s = 1$ so that now $A_0^s = -A_0^a \approx 1$ and all that remains is a single parameter ρ^* .



Kadowaki Woods (1986)

$$\rho(T) = \rho_0 + AT^2$$

$$C_v(T) = \gamma T$$

$$\alpha_{KW} = \frac{A}{\gamma^2} \approx (1 \times 10^{-5}) \ \mu \Omega \text{cm}(mol K^2/mJ)$$