

Layers form at positions of +1/2 defects, holes at -1/2 defects



Copenhagen et al, Nature Physics **17** 211 (2021)

Myxococcus xanthus

Topological defects in the mesothelium suppress ovarian cancer cell clearance

Jun Zhang, Ning Yang, Pamela K. Kreeger, Jacob Notbohm APL BioEng 2021



1. Introduction

- 2. Active turbulence: the basics
- 3. Active turbulence: details
- 4. Mechanobiology
- Active anchoring and cell sorting
- How do confluent cell layers move?
- Are cells extensile or contractile?
- Phase field models
- ... and a bit more about vertex models
- The hare and the tortoise

How do individual cells move?



Polar driving



Self contractile activity



How do monolayers of cells move?

epithelial cells





Simple squamous



Stratified squamous

Stratified cuboidal



How do layers of cells move? Jamming, Flocking

Egg chamber rotation







Cetera et al. Nature Comms. 5, 5511 (2014)

How do layers of cells move? Active turbulence?





velocity fields reminiscent of active turbulence

topological defects in human bronchial epithelial cells Blanch-Mercader et al PRL 2018



Regular flow when confined at scales below the active length

shear flow in confined channels

retinal pigment epithelial (RPE1) cells and C2C12 mouse myoblasts (Duclos et al Nat Phys 2018)





MDCK monolayers





topological defects in MDCK cells Saw et al., Nature 2017



Malinverno et al Nature Materials 16 (2017)



Malinverno et al Nature Materials 16 (2017)



If two cells come into contact they tend to move away from each other – cells prefer to move into free space colony expansion / wound healing

Polarisation tends to point away from the direction of greatest cell-cell overlap

Cells within a colony are much less likely to form lamellopodia

Strength of the polarization decreases with increasing cell-cell overlap



Fig from Int. J. Dev. Biol. 62: 5-13 (2018)



Are cell forces polar, fluctuating polar or nematic?

Underlying biology?

How much difference does the substrate make?

How much difference do free boundaries make?

Why can squishy cells give active turbulence?

If nematic, are cells extensile or contractile?







Molly McCord



Mehrana Nejad

Jay Zhang



Liam Ruske

Jacob Notbohm



Guanming Zhang

MDCK island





 $100\,\mu m$









misalignment angle: angle between shape and stress

Misalignment angle in an MDCK monolayer



 $100\,\mu m$

Angle between contractile stress axis and shape axis small (0 to 45°) (red) large (45° to 90°)(blue)

Misalignment angle in an MDCK monolayer



misalignment angle small (red); large (blue)

Misalignment angle in an MDCK monolayer よ 🔹 🕛 🗸 grp06 grp07 grp08 дгр09 grp10 grp11 grp12 matlab3db timelapse lp9 time lp9 time heatmap heatmap interface movie movie movie center no center defects.m series.m series disk. cells.m cells b.m cells c.m with disk ... disk.m m 140 1 - Inkscape Text Filters Extensions Help distribution of angle between 📑 🖬 X: 92.654 🛔 shape and stress axes °∿₀ 0.80.7area fraction of red • 0 & blue cells to show 0.2**A** 0.1variation by MDCK sample 0 contractile extensile

Shape and stress respond in different ways to strong active flows



 $C^{x}(r) = \langle \cos 2[\psi_{x}(r+r_{0},t_{0}) - \psi_{x}(r_{0},t_{0})] \rangle_{t_{0},r_{0}}$

Active stress

$$(\partial_t + u_k \partial_k) Q_{ij} - S_{ij} = \Gamma H_{ij}$$
 couples nematic order and shear flows

relaxation to minimum of Landau-de Gennes free energy

$$\rho(\partial_t + u_k \partial_k) u_i = \partial_j \Pi_{ij}$$
viscous + elastic + active stress

$$\Pi_{ij}^{active} = -\zeta Q_{ij}$$

Continuum equations of active liquid crystal hydrodynamics

Two different order parameters: one for shape, one for stress:

$$(\partial_t + u_k \partial_k) Q_{ij}^{stress} - S_{ij}^{stress} = \Gamma H_{ij}^{stress}$$

$$(\partial_t + u_k \partial_k) Q_{ij}^{shape} - S_{ij}^{shape} = \Gamma H_{ij}^{shape}$$

$$\rho(\partial_t + u_k \partial_k) u_i = \partial_j \Pi_{ij}$$

$$\Pi_{ij}^{active} = -\zeta Q_{ij}$$

Continuum equations of active liquid crystal hydrodynamics

Two different order parameters: one for shape, one for stress:

$$(\partial_t + u_k \partial_k) Q_{ij}^{stress} - S_{ij}^{stress} = \Gamma H_{ij}^{stress}$$

$$(\partial_t + u_k \partial_k) Q_{ij}^{shape} - S_{ij}^{shape} = \Gamma H_{ij}^{shape}$$

$$\rho(\partial_t + u_k \partial_k) u_i = \partial_j \Pi_{ij}$$

Active forces produced by the stress fibres

$$\Pi_{ij}^{active} = \zeta Q_{ij}^{stress}$$

 $\mathcal{F} = \text{bulk terms} +$

$$K^{stress}(\partial_k Q_{ij}^{stress})^2/2 +$$

different elastic constants for stress and shape

 $K^{shape}(\partial_k Q_{ij}^{shape})^2/2 +$

term that aligns stress to shape



 $\overline{100\,\mu m}$

 $\overline{70 \ \mu m}$



misalignment angle small (red); large (blue)

simulations





 $_{100}\,\mu m$

 $\overline{70 \ \mu m}$

Defects sit at interfaces where misalignment angle approx. 45°



Yellow: area fraction of interface

Green: fraction of defects at interface



1. Introduction

- 2. Active turbulence: the basics
- 3. Active turbulence: details
- 4. Mechanobiology
- Active anchoring and cell sorting
- How do confluent cell layers move?
- Are cells extensile or contractile?
- Phase field models
- ... and a bit more about vertex models
- The hare and the tortoise



Alert & Trepat, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics 2020

Phase field model

frame index: 30



Grant, Aranson

Equations of motion

Each cell is described by a phase field

 \mathcal{O}_{1}

 $-\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \omega_{i}}$ $\partial_t \varphi_i + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla \varphi_i =$

 $\xi \mathbf{v}_i(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{f}_i^{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{x}_i)$ passive forces + active forces

Passive forces: relax to minimise free energy

Cahn-Hilliard term: fixes $\,\varphi_i\,$ to 1 inside a cell and 0 outside and imposes a surface tension

$$\mathcal{F}_{CH} = \sum_{i} \frac{\gamma}{\lambda} \int d\mathbf{x} \left\{ 4\varphi_i^2 (1 - \varphi_i)^2 + \lambda^2 (\nabla \varphi_i)^2 \right\}$$

soft constraint on the area

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{area}} = \sum_{i} \mu \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\pi R^2} \int d\mathbf{x} \, \varphi_i^2 \right\}^2$$

Passive forces: relax to minimise free energy

penalises overlap between cells

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{rep}} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\kappa}{\lambda} \int d\mathbf{x} \; \varphi_i^2 \varphi_j^2$$

favours cell-cell adhesion

$$\mathcal{F}_{adh} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \omega \lambda \int d\mathbf{x} \, \nabla \varphi_i \cdot \nabla \varphi_j$$

Passive forces: relax to minimise free energy

$$\mathbf{f}_{i}^{passive}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \varphi_{i}} \nabla \varphi_{i}$$

Equilibrium is identical hexagons, but the system can get stuck In a jammed state.

Active polar force



 $\mathbf{f}_i^{\text{pol}}(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha \varphi_i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{p}_i$

polarisation of cell i

Choice of polarisation?

- 1. Gaussian noise
- 2. Aligns with velocity of cell (+noise)
- 3. Aligns with long axis of cell (+noise)
- 4. Aligns and is proportional to the elongation of the cell (+noise)

Polar force



$$\mathbf{f}_i^{\text{pol}}(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha \varphi_i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{p}_i$$

Choice of polarisation?

1. Gaussian noise

alignment time ~ time to move a cell diameter

- 2. Aligns with velocity of cell (+noise)
- 3. Aligns with long axis of cell (+noise)
- 4. Aligns and is proportional to the elongation of the cell (+noise)

Polar forcing: results



frame index: 30



flocking if the polarisation aligns with the velocity

liquid

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{(i)}(\mathbf{x}) = -\zeta_{\text{self}} \varphi^{(i)}(\mathbf{x}) Q_{\alpha\beta}^{(i)} - \zeta_{\text{inter}} \sum_{j\neq i} \varphi^{(j)}(\mathbf{x}) Q_{\alpha\beta}^{(j)}$$

$$\Pi_{ij}^{active} = -\zeta Q_{ij}$$

2 ALS

Extensile forces within a cell => active turbulence

$$\Pi_{ij}^{active} = -\zeta Q_{ij}$$

- **1**1 - 2

Contractile forces within a cell => nothing moves

$$\Pi_{ij}^{active} = -\zeta Q_{ij}$$

Contractile forces between cells => cells elongate and form a nematic-like lattice

A



Extensile forces between cells => cells elongate and form a "capped line" state

Active, extensile, intercellular forces



Vertex model

- One of the standard approaches to modelling epithelia
- Area-and-perimeter elasticity

$$E_{\rm VM} = \sum_{c} \left[\frac{1}{2} k_A (A_c - A_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_P (P_c - P_0)^2 \right]$$

Vertices follow overdamped dynamics

 $\eta \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i = -\nabla E_{\rm VM} + \mathbf{f}_i^{\rm active}$



Jan Rozman

Chaithanya K. V. S. Rastko Sknepnek



Farhadifar *et al.* Curr. Biol. (2007)

Flows in channel confinement -

- Nematic stresses: unidirectional channel flows
- Flows never develop in the vertex model



Vertex model

- One of the standard approaches to modelling epithelia
- Area-and-perimeter elasticity

$$E_{\rm VM} = \sum_{c} \left[\frac{1}{2} k_A (A_c - A_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_P (P_c - P_0)^2 \right]$$

• Vertices follow overdamped dynamics

 $\eta \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i = -\nabla E_{\rm VM} + \mathbf{f}_i^{\rm active}$



• Separate vertex-substrate (η) and vertex-vertex (ξ) frictions

$$\eta \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i + \xi \sum_{S_i} (\dot{\mathbf{r}}_i - \dot{\mathbf{r}}_j) = -\nabla E_{\rm VM} + \mathbf{f}_i^{\rm active}$$

- Dry model for $\xi = 0$
- "Wet" model if $\frac{\eta}{\xi} \ll 1$

Flows in channel confinement

- Dipolar stresses: unidirectional channel flows
- Flows never develop in the vertex model



- Internal dissipation: formation of flows
- Hydrodynamics & long-range correlation



Rozman*, Chaithanya*, et al. arXiv (2023)

Correlations

- Velocity-velocity and director-director correlations
- ~ 1 cell range in dry periodic system
- Much longer range in wet model
- Increasing substrate friction reduces correlation



With thanks to







Engineering and Physical Science Research Counci