A Quantum Critical Point Lying Beneath the Superconducting Dome in Iron Pnictides
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Abstract
Whether a quantum critical point (QCP) lies beneath the superconducting dome has been a long-standing issue that remains unresolved in many classes of unconventional superconductors, notably cuprates, heavy fermions, and, most recently, iron pnictides. The existence of a QCP may offer a route to understanding the origin of unconventional superconductors’ anomalous non-Fermi liquid properties, the microscopic coexistence between unconventional superconductivity and magnetic or some other exotic order, and, ultimately, the mechanism of superconductivity itself. The isovalent substituted iron pnictide BaFe₂(As₁−ₓPₓ)₂ offers a new platform for the study of quantum criticality, providing a unique opportunity to study the evolution of the electronic properties in a wide range of the phase diagram. Recent experiments in BaFe₂(As₁−ₓPₓ)₂ have provided the first clear and unambiguous evidence of a second-order quantum phase transition lying beneath the superconducting dome.
1. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of iron-pnictide high-\(T_c\) superconductivity has been one of the most exciting recent developments in condensed matter physics. In 2006, Hideo Hosono’s research group found superconductivity below 6 K in LaFePO (1). They discovered that by replacing phosphorus with arsenic and doping the structure by substituting some of the oxygen atoms with fluorine, they could increase \(T_c\) to 26 K (2). This high \(T_c\) in LaFeAs(O,F) aroused great interest in the superconductivity community, particularly when it was found that \(T_c\) could be increased to 43 K with pressure (3). By the end of April 2008, it was found that \(T_c\) could be increased to 56 K by replacing La with other rare-earth elements (4). Thus, iron pnictides joined the cuprates and became a new class of high-\(T_c\) superconductor.

The most important aspect of the iron pnictides may be that they open a new landscape in which to study mechanisms of unconventional pairing that lead to high-\(T_c\) superconductivity (5–11). The high transition temperatures in both cuprates and iron pnictides cannot be explained theoretically by the conventional electron-phonon pairing mechanism, and thus there is almost complete consensus that the superconductivity of both systems has an unconventional origin (9, 12). Another class of materials in which there is extensive evidence for unconventional superconductivity is the heavy-fermion compounds (13). The unusual properties of these materials originate from the \(f\) electrons in the Ce (4\(f\)) or U (5\(f\)) atoms, which interact with the conduction electrons to give rise to heavy effective electron masses (up to a few hundred to a thousand times the free electron mass) through the Kondo effect.

There are several notable similarities between these three classes of unconventional superconductor. First of all, it is widely believed that in all three systems electron correlation effects play an important role for the normal-state electronic properties as well as the superconductivity. As in high-\(T_c\) cuprates and some of the heavy-fermion compounds, superconductivity in iron pnictides emerges in close proximity to the antiferromagnetic (AFM) order, and \(T_c\) has dome-shaped dependence on doping or pressure. In these three systems near the optimal \(T_c\) composition, various normal-state quantities often show a striking deviation from conventional Fermi liquid behavior.

Structurally, iron pnictides also have some resemblance to cuprates: Pnictides are two-dimensional (2D) layered compounds with alternating Fe-pnictogen (Pn) layers sandwiched between other layers that either donate charge to the Fe-Pn layers or create internal pressure. However, there are also significant differences between the three systems. For example, the parent compounds of the iron pnictides are metals, whereas for cuprates they are Mott insulators. Moreover, whereas in cuprates the essential physics is captured by a single band originating from a single \(d\)-orbital per Cu site, iron-based superconductors have six electrons occupying the nearly degenerate \(3d\) Fe orbitals, indicating that the system is intrinsically multiorbital and therefore that the interorbital Coulomb interaction plays an essential role. Indeed, it is thought that orbital degrees of freedom in pnictides give rise to a rich variety of phenomena, such as nematicity and orbital ordering (14–30). In cuprates, a crucial feature of the phase diagram is the mysterious pseudogap phase (31–38). At present, it is not established whether an analogous phase exists in iron pnictides.

In heavy-fermion compounds, the \(f\) electrons, which are localized at high temperature, become itinerant at low temperature through Kondo hybridization with the conduction electrons. Their resultant Fermi surfaces usually have a complicated three-dimensional structure, and the competition of various interactions arising from Kondo physics also often complicates their magnetic structures. In these materials, orbital physics is important, giving rise to multipolar ordering, which is analogous to orbital ordering in a \(d\)-electron system (39), but often the nature of multipolar
ordering is not so simple because of the complicated Fermi surface and strong spin-orbit interactions. Iron pnictides, in sharp contrast, have much simpler quasi-2D Fermi surfaces with weaker spin-orbit interactions and simple magnetic structures (40), and therefore in these latter materials the physics of the critical magnetic fluctuations should potentially be easier to understand.

A quantum critical point (QCP) is a special class of second-order phase transition that takes place at absolute zero temperature, typically in a material in which the phase transition temperature has been driven to zero by nonthermal parameters, such as doping, and the application of pressure or a magnetic field (41–46). In this short review, we address several questions concerning the physics of a putative QCP in the phase diagram of the iron-pnictide materials (47). In particular, we review the evidence for a QCP hidden beneath the superconducting dome, which we believe to be crucially important for understanding the anomalous normal-state properties and the high-$T_c$ superconductivity. In cuprates and heavy-fermion compounds, this issue, i.e., whether the pseudogap phase or the magnetically ordered phase terminates at a QCP inside the superconducting dome, has been hotly debated but remains puzzling (48–51). Here we focus on the electronic properties of the 122 family with the parent compound BaFe$_2$As$_2$, which is the most studied among the various families of iron-based superconductors discovered to date. This family provides a unique opportunity to study the evolution of the electronic properties over a wide range of the phase diagram, ranging from spin density wave (SDW) metal through a high-$T_c$ superconductor to conventional Fermi liquid metal. In particular, the isovalently substituted system BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$ (52) provides a particularly clean system because P-substitution does not induce appreciable scattering (53, 54).

2. QUANTUM CRITICALITY

2.1. Quantum Phase Transition

Ordinary phase transitions are driven by thermal fluctuations and involve a change between an ordered and a disordered state. At absolute zero temperature, where there are no thermal fluctuations, a fundamentally new type of phase transition can occur called a quantum phase transition (41–48, 55, 56). Quantum phase transitions are triggered by quantum fluctuations associated with Heisenberg’s Uncertainty Principle. This type of phase transition involves no change in entropy and can be accessed only by varying a nonthermal parameter—such as magnetic field, pressure, or chemical composition. When the transition is continuous, the point that separates the two distinct quantum phases at zero temperature is called a QCP. The physics of quantum criticality has become a frontier issue in condensed matter physics, in particular in strongly correlated systems.

Figure 1 illustrates a typical example of the phase diagram in the vicinity of a continuous quantum phase transition. The ground state of the system can be tuned by varying the nonthermal parameter $g$. The system undergoes a continuous phase transition at finite temperature $T_o$. In the close vicinity of $T_o$, there is a region of critical thermal fluctuations. With increasing $g$, $T_o$ decreases. The end point of a line of this continuous finite temperature phase transition ($g = g_c$) is the QCP, at which quantum phase transition from ordered phase to disordered phase occurs at $T = 0$ K. At the QCP, two distinct states at $g < g_c$ and $g > g_c$ are mixed, and the wave function is a nontrivial superposition of the two quantum states. Approaching the QCP, the order parameter correlation length $\xi$ and correlation time $\xi_\tau$ (i.e., the correlation length
along the imaginary time axis), which characterize the dynamical (temporal) fluctuations, diverge as

\[ \xi \propto |g - g_c|^{-\nu}, \]

where \( |g - g_c| \) is the distance to the QCP, and \( \nu \) is the correlation length exponent, and

\[ \xi \propto \xi^z, \]

where \( z \) is the dynamical exponent of the quantum phase transition (41, 44, 45). The dispersion relation at the QCP is \( \omega \propto k^z \); \( z = 1 \) for AFM localized spin systems with spin wave excitations, and \( z = 2 \) for itinerant AFM systems. At a finite temperature in a quantum system, there is a finite timescale,

\[ L_T = \frac{\hbar}{k_B T}, \]

which characterizes the thermal fluctuations (i.e., thermal length along the imaginary time axis).

The disordered phase of the system at finite temperature can be divided into distinct regimes: \( L_T > \xi_\tau \) and \( L_T < \xi_\tau \). The dashed lines in Figure 1 represent the crossover line defined by \( \xi_\tau = L_T \) or \( T \propto |g - g_c|^\nu z \). For the low-temperature regime \( L_T \gg \xi_\tau \), the thermal timescale is much longer than the quantum timescale. In this regime, excitations from the quantum ground state are only weakly influenced by the thermal fluctuations and hence the system can be described by the ground state wave function. The quasiparticle excitations are well defined, and the temperature dependence of the physical quantities can be calculated by the thermal average of independent quasiparticles. For itinerant electron systems, the temperature dependence of the physical quantities exhibits conventional Fermi liquid behavior, such as \( T^2 \)-dependence of the resistivity, \( T \)-independent electronic specific-heat coefficient \( \gamma \) [where \( \gamma(T) = C_e(T)/T \) and \( C_e(T) \) is the electronic contribution to the specific heat], and \( T \)-independent magnetic susceptibility \( \chi \).

**Figure 1**

General phase diagram near a quantum critical point, \( g_c \). The second-order phase transition to an ordered phase at \( T_o \) can be suppressed by a nonthermal parameter, \( g \). At finite temperatures near \( T_o \), a thermal fluctuation regime exists where conventional scaling properties can be observed. When approaching the quantum critical point from the right-hand side, the correlation length diverges. When the temperature is lowered toward zero, the thermal length diverges. Above the crossover line where these two length scales become comparable, a fan-shaped non-Fermi liquid region appears.
However, the high-temperature regime above the QCP exhibits a completely different behavior. In this regime, where the thermal timescale is much shorter than the quantum timescale \( L_t \ll \xi_p \), the physical properties at finite temperatures are seriously influenced by the presence of the QCP at \( g = g_c \). The system in this regime cannot be simply described by the ground state wave function at \( g \). In this quantum critical regime, the temperature dependence of the physical quantities often exhibits a striking deviation from conventional Fermi liquid behavior. For instance, in the 2D case the resistivity shows a \( T \)-linear behavior at low temperature, \( \rho \propto T \), and \( \chi(T) \) and \( \chi'(T) \) become strongly temperature dependent with divergent behavior, as \( T \to 0 \) K, \( C_e/T \propto \log T \) (for the 3D case, \( \rho \propto T^{3/2} \) and \( C_e/T \propto \text{constant} - \sqrt{T} \)) (57).

The dashed crossover lines in Figure 1 border the region of quantum critical fluctuations. The quantum critical region has a characteristic fan shape. Remarkably, and somewhat paradoxically, the importance of quantum criticality expands with increasing temperature, far beyond the isolated QCP at \( T = 0 \). Thus, the quantum fluctuations originating from the QCP can extend to a finite temperature, giving rise to unusual physical phenomena.

The quantum criticality has been studied most extensively in nonsuperconducting heavy-fermion systems (46, 55, 56), such as CeCu\(_6\)-xAu\(_x\) (58) and YbRh\(_2\)Si\(_2\) (59), and the ruthenate Sr\(_3\)Ru\(_2\)O\(_7\) (60). Here we focus on the correlated electron systems in which unconventional superconductivity emerges near the antiferromagnetic QCP.

### 2.2. Quantum Critical Points in Unconventional Superconductors

As mentioned above, iron pnictides and heavy-fermion compounds share common features in that unconventional superconductivity emerges in close proximity to an antiferromagnetically ordered state and a superconducting dome appears as a function of doping or pressure, with the maximum \( T_c \) found close to the extrapolated end point of the AFM transition. The situation in the cuprates is somewhat different in that \( T_c \) is small or zero close to the end point of the AFM transition. Instead, the maximal \( T_c \), which occurs at a hole doping per Cu of \( p = 0.16 \), is close to the zero temperature end point of the pseudogap phase, which has been estimated to occur at approximately \( p = 0.19 \) (32, 33, 61). The pseudogap is characterized by a gradual depression of the density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level and a strong suppression of spin and charge excitations (31), which sets in at temperature \( T_{\text{pg}} \). Recent experiments have suggested possible broken rotational and time-reversal symmetries within the pseudogap regime (34–37), supporting the view that the pseudogap state is a distinct phase. Within the pseudogap phase, there seems to be another critical point close to \( p = 1/8 \) where charge ordering (38, 62, 63) that leads to Fermi surface reconstruction (64) is observed. There is evidence that the effective mass increases substantially close to a critical point at \( p = 0.10 \) associated with this phase (65); however, the ordering that occurs here seems to correspond to a depression in \( T_c \) rather than any enhancement. So if cuprate superconductivity is also to be interpreted within the quantum critical framework, it would seem that the pseudogap phase is the best candidate for the fluctuating phase. We also note that near the optimal doping the resistivity exhibits \( T \)-linear dependence over a wide temperature range.

A major open question in these three systems is whether the QCP lies beneath the superconducting dome or whether the criticality is avoided by the transition to the superconducting state. This question is intimately related to the following three fundamental issues:

1. Are quantum fluctuations that are associated with the QCP essential for superconductivity?
2. Are the non-Fermi liquid properties in the normal state above \( T_c \) observed near the optimally doped regime driven by the quantum fluctuations?
3. Can unconventional superconductivity coexist with magnetic or some other exotic long-range order on a microscopic level?
This last issue is motivated by the fact that the existence of a QCP inside the dome suggests the presence of two distinct superconducting ground states, one of which may coexist with a magnetic state. Microscopic coexistence of superconductivity and magnetism has been a long-standing unsolved problem in heavy-fermion compounds (50, 51, 66, 67) as well as in iron pnictides (40, 68–72). In spite of intensive studies using various probes, it remains unclear whether the long-range AFM order truly coexists microscopically with superconducting regions or whether there is microscopic phase separation. So far the answer to this question seems to depend strongly on the experimental technique used to probe it. The type of coexistence we are referring to here is fundamentally different from the type observed in compounds such as Chevrel phases, borocarbides, and some heavy-fermion compounds, e.g., UPd2Al3, in which the magnetism occurs in a different electronic subsystem than the main conduction electrons (73). In the present case, the same electrons are responsible for both types of behavior.

A major obstacle to probing the presence or absence of a QCP inside the superconducting dome is the presence of the superconductivity itself, which makes most experimental probes insensitive to its presence. Attempting to remove the superconductivity by, for example, using a high magnetic field, is not straightforward either. Besides the fact that very large fields (> 50 T) are required for iron pnictides, the presence of this field affects the original magnetic phase boundary in the zero-temperature limit and may drastically change the nature of the quantum critical fluctuations.

Figure 2 illustrates several possible generic temperature versus nonthermal control parameter phase diagrams for heavy-fermion compounds and iron pnictides:

1. Case A: A repulsion between AFM and superconducting (SC) order: Quantum criticality is avoided by the transition to the superconducting state (Figure 2a). There is no trace of a QCP in this case. This phase diagram has been reported for CeIn3 and CePd2Si2 (67).
2. Case B: The magnetic order abruptly disappears at a temperature where magnetic and superconductivity phase boundaries meet (Figure 2b). A first-order or a nearly first-order phase boundary appears at a composition \( x_1 \), and there is no magnetic QCP. A nearly vertical first-order line at \( x_1 \), which separates two phases, has been reported in CeRhIn5 (50, 51).
3. Case C: A QCP lies beneath the superconducting dome (Figure 2c). The second-order quantum phase transition occurs at the QCP (\( x_c \)), and the QCP separates two distinct superconducting phases (SC1 and SC2). The point at which magnetic and superconductivity phase boundaries meet is a tetracritical point. As shown later, this phase diagram is realized in BaFe2(As1–xPx)2 (74).

Usually, when looking for a mechanism of superconductivity, we think of some form of boson-mediating pairing between two electrons to form a Cooper pair. The strength and characteristic energy of the coupling then determines \( T_c \). However, more generally, the transition to the superconducting state takes place when the energy of the superconducting state is lower than that of the normal state it replaces. Therefore, we can view the mechanism in which quantum criticality causes superconductivity in two different ways. First, the quantum critical fluctuations enhance the bosonic coupling strength and so produce strong Cooper pairing in the usual way, i.e., similar to the enhancement that occurs in electron-phonon coupled superconductors near a structural phase transition, where a phonon branch softens and becomes strongly coupled to the electrons. Second, the increase in the normal state energy caused by the quantum fluctuations means that a transition to the superconducting state, where such excitations are gapped out, is more energetically favorable and therefore occurs at a higher temperature than normal. The pairing in this case need not necessarily be solely due to the quantum fluctuations but may involve other channels, such as phonons. These two mechanisms are not mutually exclusive, but it is natural to associate the
former with Case C and the latter with Cases A and B. This is because when the criticality is avoided in the superconducting state (Cases A and B), the superconducting gap formation suppresses the effect of quantum fluctuations on the entropy, leading to a gain in the condensation energy.

3. 122 FAMILY

3.1. Crystal and Electronic Structure

There have now been several different types of iron-pnictide superconductors discovered. The families are often abbreviated to the ratio of the elements in their parent compositions and are known as the 111, 122, 1111, 32522, and 42622 types (6, 7). In addition, there are also iron-chalcogenide materials of the 11 and, most recently, 122’ types (75), which show much of the same physics as the iron pnictides.

Crudely, the electronic and crystal structures and phase diagrams of all iron-based superconductors are quite similar. The crystals are composed of a 2D Fe layer, which is formed in a square lattice structure with an Fe-Fe distance of approximately 0.28 nm. The As (or P/Se/Te) atoms reside above and below the Fe layer, alternatively, and are located at the center of the Fe-atom squares, forming a tetrahedron FeAs$_4$ (Figure 3a–c). Because of the strong bonding between Fe-Fe and Fe-As sites, the geometry of the FeAs$_4$ plays a crucial role in determining the electronic properties of these systems. The Fermi surface in these materials consists of well-separated hole pockets at the center of the Brillouin zone and electron pockets at the zone corners (Figures 3d,e). The parent compound is an SDW metal. The SDW is suppressed either by chemical substitution or by pressure. All the families exhibit a tetragonal-to-orthorhombic structural transition (i.e., broken C$_4$ symmetry) that either precedes or is coincident with the SDW transition.

3.2. Magnetic Structure

BaFe$_2$As$_2$ undergoes a tetragonal-orthorhombic structural transition at $T_s = 135$ K, and at the same temperature it exhibits a paramagnetic-to-SDW phase transition. The magnetic structure of
BaFe$_2$As$_2$ is collinear with a small-ordered moment ($\sim 0.9\mu_B$ per Fe) (76) in which the arrangement consists of spins antiferromagnetically arranged along one direction of nearest neighbors ($a$ axis) within the iron lattice plane and ferromagnetically arranged along the other direction ($b$ axis) ([Figure 3c]). There is a small (0.7%) reduction in bond length along the direction in which the spins are ferromagnetically coupled, leading to a reduction in symmetry. A similar collinear spin structure has also been reported in other pnictides, such as AFe$_2$As$_2$ ($A =$ Ca and Sr), AFeAsO ($A =$ La, Ce, Sm, Pr, etc.), and NaFeAs, whereas Fe$_{1+y}$Te exhibits a bicollinear spin structure (77). Inelastic neutron-scattering experiments have mapped out spin waves on single crystals of CaFe$_2$As$_2$, SrFe$_2$As$_2$, and BaFe$_2$As$_2$ throughout the Brillouin zone. It has been pointed out that neither localized nor itinerant models can satisfactorily describe these magnetic structures and excitation spectrums (40). Recently, a possible orbital ordering has been suggested to occur.
together with magnetic ordering, which lifts the degeneracy between $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ orbitals (19, 21). Such an orbital-ordered state has been suggested to be important to understand not only the magnetism (14, 15, 27, 40) but also the transport properties (16, 22, 23, 26, 28).

**3.3. Superconductivity**

High-temperature superconductivity develops when the parent AFM/orthorhombic phase is suppressed, typically by the introduction of dopant atoms. In most of the iron-based compounds, the magnetic and structural transition temperatures split with doping (6, 7). The hole doping is achieved by substitution of Ba$^{2+}$ by K$^{1+}$ in (Ba$_{1-x}$K$_x$)Fe$_2$As$_2$, and electron doping is achieved by substitution of Fe by Co in Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ or Ni in Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_2$As$_2$. High-$T_c$ superconductivity appears even for the isovalent doping with phosphorous in BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$ or ruthenium in Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Ru$_x$)$_2$As$_2$. The magnetic and superconducting phase diagrams of the BaFe$_2$As$_2$-based systems are shown in Figure 4. In the hole doped (Ba$_{1-x}$K$_x$)Fe$_2$As$_2$, the structural/magnetic phase transition crosses the superconducting dome at $x \sim 0.3$, and a maximum $T_c$ of 38 K appears at $x \simeq 0.45$. Upon hole doping, the hole pocket expands and the electron pocket shrinks and disappears at $x \sim 0.6$ (78) or $x > 0.7$ (79). The superconductivity is observed even at the hole-doped end material ($x = 1$) KFe$_2$As$_2$, which corresponds to 0.5 holes per Fe atom. In the electron-doped Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_2$As$_2$, the maximum $T_c$ of 22 K appears at $x = 0.07$. In contrast to the hole-doped case, superconductivity vanishes at only 0.15 electrons per Fe atom (although note that each
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Figure 4

Magnetic and superconducting phase diagram of BaFe$_2$As$_2$-based materials. Superconductivity emerges when the AFM order is suppressed via either (right) hole doping in (Ba$_{1-x}$K$_x$)Fe$_2$As$_2$, (left) electron doping in Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_2$As$_2$, or (bottom) isovalent substitution in BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$. In the P-substituted system and in the overdoped region of a K-doped system, the superconducting gap has line nodes.
doped K atom adds only 0.5 holes per Fe atom). This electron-hole asymmetry in the phase diagram has been attributed to an enhanced Fermi surface nesting in the hole-doped compounds. Superconductivity with $T_c = 31$ K also appears in heavily electron-doped $A_xFe_{2-y}Se_2$ with no hole pockets (75).

### 3.4. Isovalent Substitution System

The isovalently doped $BaFe_2(As_{1-x}P_x)_2$ is a particularly suitable system for studying the detailed evolution of the electronic properties because of the following reasons. In isovalent doping with no introduction of additional charge carriers, the dopant changes the electronic structure mainly because of differences in ion size. In fact, the phase diagram of $BaFe_2(As_{1-x}P_x)_2$ can be retracted with hydrostatic pressure from any starting P concentration (52), suggesting that external pressure is equivalent to chemical substitution of As by the smaller P ion. Observation of quantum oscillations in a wide $x$-range ($0.38 \leq x \leq 1$) demonstrates the low scattering rate of the defects introduced by P-substitution (53, 80–82), particularly for the electron sheets.

According to density function theory (DFT) band-structure calculations (52, 53), three hole sheets exist around the zone center (Γ point) in $BaFe_2As_2$, whereas one of them is absent in $BaFe_2P_2$ (Figure 3d,e). Both compounds have two electron pockets around the zone corner (X point). The three dimensionality of the hole Fermi surfaces is quite sensitive to the pnictogen position $z_{Pn}$. The substitution of P for As reduces both the $c$-axis length and $z_{Pn}$ and eventually leads to the loss of one of the hole sheets and a strong increase in the warping of another, which gains strong $d_{z^2}$ character close to the top of the zone (Z point). This increased Fermi surface warping upon doping weakens the nesting along the $(\pi, \pi)$ direction. In contrast to the significant changes in the hole sheets, the electron sheets are almost unchanged in the calculations, although experimentally a significant reduction in their volume is found (53).

### 3.5. Superconducting Gap Structure and Symmetry

Detailed knowledge of the superconducting gap structure and how it varies between different families can be useful in helping to decide between microscopic theories (9, 11, 83–89). The superconducting gap structure in the 122 family has been studied extensively by means of various experimental techniques (9). In electron-doped $Ba(Fe_{1-x}Co_x)_2As_2$, fully gapped superconductivity appears to be realized in the whole doping range (90). In hole-doped $(Ba_{1-x}K_x)Fe_2As_2$, although fully gapped superconductivity is well established in the optimally doped regime (91, 92), line nodes appear in the heavily hole-doped regime for $x \geq 0.8$ (93–97, 128), where the electron pockets are absent.

In isovalently doped $BaFe_2(As_{1-x}P_x)_2$, the presence of line nodes has been reported (98–100) throughout the entire superconducting region of the phase diagram. Figure 5 shows the temperature dependence of the relative change of the London penetration depth $\Delta \lambda(T) = \lambda(T) - \lambda(0)$ down to 80 mK, as determined by a high-precision tunnel diode oscillator (operating frequency of $\sim 13$ MHz). For all samples measured, covering a wide range of P-concentrations, a quasi-$T$-linear variation of $\Delta \lambda(T)$ is observed, indicating that the presence of line nodes is a robust feature of this P-substituted system (74).

The position of the line nodes in this isovalent system has been studied extensively. There is no evidence of vertical line nodes in the hole pockets located at the zone center (101, 102), excluding a possibility of $d$-wave symmetry. However, whether the line nodes are present in hole or electron pockets has been controversial. Although horizontal line nodes in the hole pockets have been suggested by one ARPES (angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy) experiment (103), loop line nodes in the electron pockets have been suggested by both a different ARPES experiment
and the angular variation of the thermal conductivity. It should be noted that the robustness of the line nodes against P-substitution appears to be consistent with the nodes being on the electron pockets rather than the hole pockets because the electron pockets change relatively little with x, whereas the shape of the hole sheets changes substantially (Figure 3d,e).

Recent results detailing the effect of electron irradiation on the magnetic penetration depth demonstrate that the line nodes are lifted by the impurities (Y. Mizukami, Y. Kawamoto, S. Kurata, T. Mikami, K. Hashimoto, S. Kasahara, Y. Matsuda, M. Konczykowski, C. J. van der Beek, B. Boizot, R. Prozorov, Y. Wang, A. Kreisel, V. Mishra, P. J. Hirschfeld, T. Shibauchi, unpublished results), indicating that they are not protected by symmetry. From the above, we conclude that the gap structure is not universal; however, the gap symmetry is universally $A_{1g}$, at least in the 122 family.

4. QUANTUM CRITICAL POINTS HIDDEN BENEATH THE SUPERCONDUCTING DOME

The method of isovalent substitution offers an ideal route to quantum criticality that is distinct from charge carrier doping or application of external pressure. Because BaFe$_2$As$_2$ exhibits SDW order and BaFe$_2$P$_2$ does not, we can place the two end materials on either side of $g_c$ along the tuning parameter axis in the phase diagram. As x increases, the tuning parameter g increases. In this section, we discuss the presence of the QCP in BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$ as evidenced by both the normal and superconducting electron responses.

4.1. Normal Electron Response

First, we discuss the normal-state properties that are studied by transport, magnetic, and thermodynamic probes as well as by quantum oscillations. These measurements are done at temperatures above $T_c$ or at high magnetic fields above the upper critical field.

4.1.1. Transport properties. It is well established that in conventional metals the electron transport properties exhibit characteristic temperature and field dependencies, which are described by Landau’s Fermi liquid theory. One of the most interesting and puzzling issues in strongly correlated...
electron systems is anomalous electron transport phenomena, which often display striking deviation from Fermi liquid behavior in a wide temperature range. As shown in Figure 6a, the in-plane resistivity $\rho$ follows the Fermi liquid relation of $\rho = \rho_0 + AT^2$ at $x \gtrsim 0.6$. In stark contrast, at $x = 0.33$, close to the optimum concentration where the maximum $T_c$ is achieved, $\rho$ shows linear temperature dependence, $\rho = \rho_0 + AT$ (52), which is a hallmark of non-Fermi liquid behavior. We note that along with the $T$-linear resistivity, a striking enhancement of the Hall coefficient at low temperatures and an apparent violation of Kohler’s law for the magnetoresistance, which are both also indicative of non-Fermi liquid behavior (106), have been reported (52).

Figure 6
Quantum critical behavior of normal electrons above $T_c$ in BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$. (a) Temperature dependence of in-plane resistivity $\rho$ for $0.33 \leq x \leq 0.71$ (52). The dashed red lines show the fit of normal-state $\rho(T)$ to power-law dependence $\rho_0 + AT^α$. (b) Temperature dependence of nuclear magnetic resonance $1/T_1^{-1}$ measured for the $^{31}$P nuclei for several compositions (107). The lines are the fits to the Curie-Weiss temperature dependence. (c) Temperature-dependent amplitude of a fast Fourier transform of the dHvA signal for the $\beta$ orbits for samples close to the spin density wave boundary. The lines are fits to the Lifshitz-Kosevich formula. The field ranges of the fitting are from 38 T to 42 T for $x = 0.38$, 40 T to 54 T for $x = 0.40$, and 45 T to 55 T for $x = 0.43$. The inset shows extremal $\beta$ orbits in the outer electron pocket. (d) The inset shows the total measured specific heat for $x = 0.30$; the solid line is the fitted normal state background $C_n$. The main part of the figure shows the specific heat with $C_n$ subtracted for different values of $x$, and the solid lines show the entropy-conserving construction used to determine the jump height $\Delta C$ and $T_c$. 
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The color shading in Figure 7a represents the value of the resistivity exponent in the relation

\[ \rho = \rho_0 + AT^\alpha. \]

A crossover from non-Fermi liquid to Fermi liquid with doping is clearly seen. The region of the phase diagram, which includes a funnel of \( T \)-linear resistivity centered on \( x \approx 0.3 \), bears a striking resemblance to the quantum critical regime shown in Figure 1. Thus, the normal state transport properties are consistent with the presence of a QCP at \( x \approx 0.3 \).

### 4.1.2. Magnetic properties

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments give important information about the low-energy magnetic excitations of the system. The Knight shift \( K \) and spin-lattice relaxation rate \( 1/T_1 \) of \( \text{BaFe}_2(\text{As}_{1-x}\text{P}_x)_2 \) have been measured with various P concentrations \((107)\). \( K \) is almost \( T \)-independent for all \( x \), indicating that the DOS does not change substantially with temperature. The \( ^{31}\text{P} \) relaxation rate \( 1/T_1 \) is sensitive to the AFM fluctuations: \( 1/T_1 T \) is proportional to the average of the imaginary part of the dynamical susceptibility \( \chi(q, \omega_0)/\omega_0 \), \( 1/T_1 T \approx \Sigma_q |\Lambda(q)|^2 \chi''(q, \omega_0)/\omega_0 \), where \( \Lambda(q) \) is the hyperfine coupling between \( ^{31}\text{P} \) nuclear spin and the surrounding electrons and \( \omega_0 \) is the NMR frequency. In the Fermi liquid state, the Korringa relation \( T_1 T K^2 = \text{constant} \) holds, but it fails in the presence of strong magnetic fluctuations. In particular, AFM correlations enhance \( 1/T_1 \) through the enhancement of \( \chi(q \neq 0) \), without appreciable change of \( K \).

Figure 6b shows the temperature dependence of \( 1/T_1 T \) in a wide range of P-substitution. At \( x = 0.64 \), \( 1/T_1 T \) is nearly temperature independent, indicating the Korringa relation \( T_1 T K^2 = \text{constant} \).

![Figure 7](image-url)

(a) Phase diagram of \( \text{BaFe}_2(\text{As}_{1-x}\text{P}_x)_2 \). Red and blue colors represent non-Fermi (nFL) and Fermi liquid (FL) regimes determined by the exponent \( \alpha \) of the temperature dependence of the resistivity. The structural transition temperature \( T_N \) (yellow triangles), the spin density wave (SDW) transition temperature \( T_{\text{SDW}} \) (gray circles), and the superconducting (SC) transition temperature \( T_c \) (white squares) are determined by the anomalies in resistivity curves. \( \theta \) is the Weiss temperature determined by the nuclear magnetic resonance relaxation rate. The light green squares and dark green diamonds represent the effective mass normalized by the band mass \( m'/m_b \) (right axis) determined by the dHvA and specific-heat measurements, respectively. Experimental evidence for a quantum critical point (QCP) in this system at \( x_c = 0.3 \) includes a funnel of \( T \)-linear behavior in the resistivity centered on \( x_c \), a steep increase in \( m'/m_b \) as \( x \) approaches \( x_c \), and vanishing \( \theta \) at \( x_c \). (b) The \( x \) dependence of the square of zero-temperature London penetration depth \( \lambda_L^2(0) \) determined by the Al-coated method (diamonds), surface impedance (circles), and slope of the temperature dependence of \( \Delta \lambda_L(T) \) (squares, right axis). A sharp peak in \( \lambda_L^2(0) \) at \( x_c \) indicates that the superfluid density is minimal at this critical \( x \) value and therefore that the QCP survives under the superconducting dome.
This Fermi liquid behavior in the magnetic properties is consistent with the transport properties. As $x$ is varied toward the optimally doping concentration, however, $1/T_1 T$ shows a strong temperature dependence, indicating a dramatic enhancement of the AFM fluctuations. It has been reported that in the paramagnetic regime, $T_1$ is well fitted with the 2D AFM spin fluctuation theory of a nearly AFM metal,

$$\frac{1}{T_1 T} = a + \frac{b}{T + \theta},$$

where $a$ and $b$ are fitting parameters and $\theta$ is the Curie-Weiss temperature. It has been reported that although $a$ and $b$ change little with $x$, $\theta$ exhibits a strong $x$ dependence (107). In Figure 7a, doping evolution of $|\theta|$ is plotted. With decreasing $x$, $\theta$, which is positive in the high $x$ region, decreases and become negative in the low $x$ region: $\theta$ goes to zero at the critical concentration $x \approx 0.3$, where non-Fermi liquid behavior in the resistivity is observed. At a second-order AFM critical point, the singular part of $1/T_1 T$ is expected to vary as $1/T$, i.e., $\theta = 0$ because the dynamical susceptibility diverges at $T = 0$ K, or the magnetic correlation length continues to increase down to zero temperature. Thus, NMR experiments show that the magnetic ordering temperature approaches zero at the SDW boundary, which is consistent with the presence of a QCP at $x \approx 0.3$.

**4.1.3. Fermi surface.** Discovering how the Fermi surface evolves as the material is tuned from a nonsuperconducting conventional metal toward the non-Fermi liquid regime near the SDW phase boundary is an important step toward gaining a complete understanding of the mechanism that drives high-$T_c$ superconductivity. Quantum oscillations arise from the Landau quantization of the energy levels of metals in high magnetic fields and can be used to map out the detailed Fermi surface structure. They are usually observed at very low temperatures and in very clean single crystals. The frequencies of the observed oscillations $F$ (as a function of the inverse magnetic field) provide very accurate measurements of the Fermi surface extremal cross-sectional areas $A_k$ via the Onsager relation $F = (\hbar/2e) A_k$. The effective mass of the quasiparticles on the various orbits $m^*$ is determined by fitting the temperature-dependent amplitude of the oscillations to the Lifshitz-Kosevich formalism. The mass enhancement, which reflects the non-mean-field electron-electron correlation effects, is given by the ratio $m^*/m_b$ between the effective mass and the DFT calculated band mass. The quantum oscillations observed in the magnetization or torque are known as the de Haas–van Alphen (dHvA) effect.

In BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$ dHvA oscillations have been observed in a wide $x$ range (53), indicating that the substitution of As by P does not induce appreciable scattering. For the end member BaFe$_2$P$_2$, the dHvA oscillations originating from all the Fermi surface sheets are observed and hence the complete Fermi surface is precisely determined (81). All the orbits have relatively uniform mass enhancements $m^*/m_b$ ranging from 1.6 to 1.9 ($m_b$ is determined by the DFT band calculation). For the As-substituted samples, the dHvA oscillations from the hole sheets are rapidly attenuated with decreasing $x$ (53); Signals from the hole sheets have been reported only down to $x = 0.63$ (80), which is still some distance from the SDW phase boundary at which $T_c$ reaches its maximum. However, the dHvA oscillations from the electron sheets, in particular the signals from the $\beta$ orbits on the outer electron sheet (see the inset of Figure 6c), have been observed in a wide doping range up to $x = 0.38$ ($T_c = 28$ K), which is fairly close to the SDW boundary (53, 82). Figure 6c shows the temperature-dependent amplitude of the fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the dHvA signal for the $\beta$ orbits for samples with different values of $x$ near the SDW boundary. As the
SDW boundary is approached, the curve decreases more rapidly, indicating significant mass enhancement.

In Figure 7a, the x-dependence of $m'/m_b$ of the β orbit is plotted. The effective masses exhibit an upturn in samples of progressively lower P-concentration, $x$. The enhanced mass of the electron sheet at $x = 0.38$ reported by the ARPES (108) is quantitatively consistent with dHvA results. Concomitantly with the mass enhancement, the volume of the electron sheets (and, via charge neutrality, also the hole sheets) shrinks linearly, which is not expected from the DFT calculations (53). This implies the reduction of the Fermi temperature $T_F = \frac{\hbar e F}{m'} k_B$ with decreasing $x$. It is highly unlikely that these changes are a simple consequence of the one-electron band structure but instead they likely originate from many-body interactions. It is generally believed that strong quantum fluctuations near the QCP lead to a notable many-body effect, which seriously modifies the quasiparticle masses. Therefore, the enhancement in $m'/m_b$ and shrinkage of Fermi surface, and hence the precipitous drop of Fermi temperature as the material is tuned toward the SDW boundary, are consistent with the presence of a QCP.

4.1.4. Thermodynamic properties. As noted above, an increase in effective mass on one of the electron Fermi surfaces as the SDW boundary is approached is observed by dHvA. Specific heat measures the total DOS and so contains contributions from all the Fermi surface sheets. For BaFe$_2$P$_2$, in which masses of almost all the observable orbits have been reported, all orbits show a relatively uniform mass enhancement, $m'/m_b$. The electronic specific coefficient $\gamma = \frac{C_e}{T}$ is proportional to the total DOS. Because the band structure of BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$ is close to the 2D limit, $\gamma$ is directly proportional to $m'$. Unfortunately, owing to the high superconducting transition temperatures, the direct determination of $\gamma$ is extremely difficult. However, $\gamma$ can be calculated by the jump of the specific heat at $T_c$ via the relation

$$\gamma = \frac{\Delta C}{\alpha_c T_c}$$

by making the reasonable assumption that $\alpha_c$, which takes the value 1.43 for weak coupling s-wave superconductors, does not change appreciably near the QCP.

Figure 6d shows $\Delta C/T$ at $T_c$ for samples close to the SDW boundary (82). Here the anomaly at the transition $\Delta C$ has been isolated from the phonon-dominated background by subtracting a second-order polynomial fitted above $T_c$ and extrapolated to lower temperature (see the inset of Figure 6d). Although there is some uncertainty in using this procedure over an extended temperature range, the lack of appreciable thermal superconducting fluctuations, as evidenced by the mean-field-like form of the anomaly, means that there is very little uncertainty in the size of $\Delta C$. It is evident from the data that the size of the anomaly $\Delta C/T_c$ depends very strongly on $x$ and $T_c$.

In Figure 7a, the quasiparticle mass normalized by the averaged effective mass determined by the specific heat is plotted. The average effective mass enhancement $m'/m_b$ is obtained by taking the ratio of $\gamma$ to the band-structure value calculated by DFT for BaFe$_2$P$_2$, $\gamma_b = 6.94$ mJ/(K$^2$-mol). It should be noted that $m'/m_b$ estimated by the dHvA and specific-heat measurements is remarkably consistent. This quantitative agreement between the trends for mass enhancement indicated by the specific heat and dHvA results for the electron β orbits suggests that the mass enhancement is relatively uniform over the Fermi surface.

It is clear that $m'/m_b$ is strikingly enhanced with decreasing $x$ and exhibits a peak at the SDW boundary: $\gamma$ value reaches as large as nearly 70 mJ/(K$^2$-mol) at the boundary. Thus, the striking enhancement in effective mass with approaching SDW boundary revealed by dHvA and specific-heat measurements consistently suggest a QCP in this system at $x \approx 0.3$. 
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The uniform magnetic susceptibility $\chi$ is also related to the effective mass. In fact, the concomitant enhancement of $\gamma$ and $\chi$ has been observed in heavy-fermion systems. However, the enhancement of the NMR Knight shift, which is proportional to $\chi(q = 0)$, has not been reported near $x \approx 0.3$. The origin of this discrepancy is not understood but could be due to the inhomogeneity of the samples in NMR measurements, where collections of small crystals are used. Indeed the present specific-heat results have been obtained by using small high-quality single crystals, which are homogeneous, as shown by the sharp jump of $C$ at $T_c$ in Figure 6d, whereas previous specific-heat measurements have reported much weaker $x$ dependence (109). Another intriguing possibility is that the nature of magnetic fluctuations near the QCP in iron pnictides may be different from that in heavy-fermion systems. The way in which the $q$-dependence of the dynamical susceptibility changes on approaching the QCP may be quite different in the two systems. In any case, this issue deserves further study.

4.2. Superfluid Density

Despite the bulk thermodynamic and transport signatures of quantum critical behavior of the normal quasiparticles at finite temperature, these signatures are not sufficient to pin down the location of the QCP because of the overlying superconducting dome. Because of the above-mentioned potential problem associated with destroying the superconductivity with a strong field, a direct probe that can trace across the QCP at zero temperature in zero field is desirable.

One property that directly probes the superconducting state well below $T_c$ at zero field is the magnetic penetration depth $\lambda_L$. In the clean, local (London) limit, the absolute value of $\lambda_L$ in the zero-temperature limit is given by the following expression:

$$\lambda^{-2}_L(0) = \frac{\mu_0 e^2}{4 \pi^2 \hbar} \int dS \frac{v_x^2}{|v|},$$

where $\int dS$ refers to an integral over the whole Fermi surface, and $v_x$ and $\lambda_L$ are the $x$ components of the Fermi velocity $v$ and the penetration depth, respectively. In a multiband system with simple Fermi surface, this may be simplified to

$$\lambda^{-2}_L(0) = \frac{\mu_0 e^2}{4 \pi^2 \hbar} \sum_i n_i/m_i^*,$$

where $n_i$ and $m_i^*$ are the number density (proportional to the volume) and average mass of the carriers in band $i$, respectively. The key point here is that $\lambda_L$ is a direct probe of the normal state properties of the electrons that form the superconducting state. Measurements on very high-quality crystals are indispensable because impurities and inhomogeneity may otherwise wipe out the signatures of the quantum phase transition.

For a reliable determination of the absolute value of $\lambda_L(0)$ in small single crystals, three different methods were adopted (74). The first is the lower-$T_c$ superconducting film coating method using a radio frequency (13 MHz) tunnel diode oscillator (110, 111). The second is the microwave cavity perturbation technique using a superconducting resonator (28 GHz) and a rutile cavity resonator (5 GHz), both of which have a high-quality factor. In the third method, $\lambda_L(0)$ is determined by the slope of $T$-linear dependence of $\Delta \lambda(T)$, as shown in Figure 5. A notable feature of the $T$-linear penetration depth is that the relative slope $d\Delta \lambda(T)/dT/T_c$ is steepest for $x = 0.30$. In general, this slope is determined by the Fermi velocity and the $k$-dependence of the superconducting gap close to the node. Making the reasonable assumption that the gap structure evolves weakly across the phase diagram, the $x$ dependence of $d\Delta \lambda(T)/dT/T_c$ will mirror that of $\lambda_L(0)$.
Figure 7b shows the P-concentration dependence of the squared in-plane London penetration length \( \lambda^2(0) \), which is determined by three different methods (74), in the zero-temperature limit. All three methods give very similar \( x \) dependencies. The most notable feature is the sharp peak in \( \lambda^2(0) \) at \( x = 0.30 \). This striking enhancement on approaching \( x = 0.30 \) from either side is naturally attributed to the critical fluctuations associated with a second-order quantum phase transition, providing strong evidence for the presence of a QCP at \( x = 0.30 \). It should be noted that in a Galilean invariant system, such an enhancement of \( \lambda_L(0) \) is not expected because the self-energy renormalization effect is canceled out by the so-called backflow correction (112). However, recent theoretical work (113, 114) has argued that in multiband systems (such as the iron pnictides), Galilean invariance is broken and the electron correlation effects give rise to a striking enhancement of the superfluid electron mass, and hence the magnetic penetration depth has a peak due to the mass enhancement at the QCP. In addition, the presence of nodes leads to a further enhancement of \( \lambda_L(0) \) at the QCP (113). A very recent calculation near the QCP suggested that the exact location of the peak in \( \lambda_L(0) \) may be shifted toward the SDW side (115). It has also been suggested that a strong renormalization of effective Fermi velocity due to quantum fluctuations occurs only for momenta \( k \) close to the nodes in the superconducting energy gap \( \Delta(k) \) (116). This nodal quantum criticality is expected to lead to a peculiar \( T^{3/2} \)-dependence of \( \lambda_L \) near the QCP. Such a \( T \)-dependence has been reported not only in BaFe\(_2\)(As\(_{1-x}\)P\(_x\))\(_2\) with \( x \) close to 0.3 but also in \( \kappa \)-(BEDT-TTF)\(_2\)Cu(NCS)\(_2\) and CeCoIn\(_5\), which may also be close to a QCP.

4.3. Continuous Quantum Phase Transition Inside the Dome

The penetration-depth measurements provide clear and direct evidence of the presence of a QCP lying beneath the superconducting dome (74). This implies that the non-Fermi liquid behavior indicated by the red region in Figure 7a is most likely associated with the finite-temperature quantum critical region linked to the QCP. In addition, the enhanced quasiparticle mass implies that the Fermi energy is suppressed, which is usually less advantageous for high \( T_c \). The fact that the highest \( T_c \) is nevertheless attained right at the QCP with the most enhanced mass strongly suggests that the quantum critical fluctuations help to enhance superconductivity in this system.

Moreover, this transition immediately indicates two distinct superconducting ground states. The strong temperature dependence of \( \Delta \Delta(T) \) at low temperatures observed on both sides of the QCP argues against a drastic change in the superconducting gap structure (9, 117). The fact that the zero-temperature extrapolation of the AFM transition \( T_N(x) \) into the dome coincides with the location of the QCP leads us to conclude that the QCP separates a pure superconducting phase and a superconducting phase coexisting with the SDW order (Figure 2c). The present results strongly suggest that superconductivity and SDW coexist on a microscopic level but compete for the same electrons in the underdoped region. This competition is evidenced by the overall larger \( \lambda_L(0) \) values on the SDW side of the QCP than on the other side (Figure 4d), which might be explained by a smaller Fermi surface volume due to partial SDW gapping. The microscopic coexistence is also supported by the enhancement of \( \lambda^2_L(0) \) as the QCP is approached from the SDW side, which is not expected in the case of phase separation.

We stress that the observed critical behavior of \( \lambda_L(0) \) has never been reported in any other superconductors, including other iron-based (111, 118), heavy-fermion, and cuprate (61) superconductors. The doping evolution of \( \lambda_L(0) \) has been reported in electron-doped Ba(Fe\(_{1-x}\)Co\(_x\))\(_2\)As\(_2\), but \( \lambda_L(0) \) increases monotonically with decreasing \( x \), and no special feature is observed even when crossing the magnetic phase boundary at \( x \approx 0.06 \). There are several possible reasons for this. First, recent neutron diffraction measurements on electron-doped Ba(Fe\(_{1-x}\)Ni\(_x\))\(_2\)As\(_2\) demonstrated that the commensurate static AFM order changes into transversely incommensurate
short-range AFM order near optimal superconductivity (119), implying that the first-order magnetic transition takes place in the phase diagram and that there is no QCP in the electron-doped compound, although there are some reports suggesting quantum critical behavior in the normal-state properties of Ba(Fe1–xCox)2As2 (120–122). Second, the QCP anomaly may be smeared out by a greater degree of the electronic disorder that is caused by Co doping in the Fe planes. Thus, it remains unclear whether the long-range AFM order truly coexists microscopically with superconducting regions in electron-doped systems.

The microscopic coexistence of SDW and superconductivity is also supported by 31P-NMR measurements in BaFe2(As1–xP3x)2 with x = 0.25 (71). The magnetic moment of an Fe atom grows rapidly as the temperature is decreased below TN, but it is seriously reduced when the system undergoes the superconducting transition at TS, indicating a direct coupling between magnetic and superconducting order parameters. These results appear to indicate that the electrons on the same Fermi surfaces contribute to both magnetic ordering and unconventional superconductivity in BaFe2(As1–xP3x)2. It should be noted that in the heavy-fermion compounds CeCo(In1–xCdx)5 and CeRhIn5, where TN is higher than TS, such a reduction is not observed. These results suggest that magnetism and superconductivity may emerge from different parts of the Fermi sheets in these heavy-fermion compounds, implying weak coupling between magnetism and superconductivity, which is consistent with the phase separation shown in Figure 2b. In cuprates, a QCP associated with the pseudogap formation has been discussed at the putative critical hole concentration p0 ∼ 0.19 inside the superconducting dome. It should be noted, however, that a broad minimum of λ2(0) reported in Bi2Sr2CaCu2O8+δ at p0 (61) is in sharp contrast to the striking enhancement of λ2(0) in BaFe2(As1–xP3x)2. Therefore, the nature of the QCP in cuprates, if present, may be very different from that found in iron pnictides.

4.4. Nematic Quantum Criticality

In iron pnictides, orbital physics and magnetism are highly entangled, which is similar to what is found in manganites, because of the strong interaction between spin and orbital motion (123). Closely related with this issue is the electronic nematicity, which is a unidirectional self-organized state that breaks the rotational symmetry of the underlying lattice. This nematicity and its relation to superconductivity have been an important issue in iron pnictides (14–30).

It has been suggested that the electronic nematicity in pnictides is associated with the tetragonal-to-orthorhombic structural transition. As the magnetic critical point at xC = 0.30 is approached, the structural transition temperature Ts decreases along with the SDW ordering temperature. Therefore, the nematic fluctuations are expected to be enhanced near xC (23, 25, 88). Indeed, the critical behavior of the nematic fluctuations have been reported in ultrasound (121) and the elastic response of resistivity anisotropy measurements (122) for Ba(Fe1–xCox)2As2.

Recent magnetic torque experiments in BaFe2(As1–xP3x)2 suggest that the electronic nematicity appears at a temperature T* much higher than TS and that the superconducting dome is covered under the T* line (29). Moreover, very recent ARPES measurements show that the inequivalent energy shifts of the dxz and dyz bands, which have been observed in the AFM state below TN (19, 20), seem to emerge at around this T* line (124). At the same time, the DOS near the Fermi level shows a pseudogap-like depletion below T* (124), which is also suggested from optical conductivity measurements (125). In addition, the NMR 1/T1T becomes enhanced below T* (126). Although further studies are necessary to clarify the nature of the electronic state changes at T* and TS, an interesting possibility is that ferro-orbital ordering occurs at TS, whereas antiferro-orbital ordering takes place at T* (25). In this case, the associated anomalies, which are clearly detected at TS, may not be detected at T* by long wavelength (q ~ 0) probes, such as elastic constants.
5. BARDEEN-COOPER-SCHRIEFFER–BOSE-EINSTEIN CONDENSATE CROSSOVER

The discovery of the QCP inside the dome illustrates another new aspect of the superconducting state in iron pnictides, which has never been realized in any of the other superconductors. In Figure 8a, $T_c$ is plotted as a function of $T_F$ in BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$ for various $x$. Because the relevant Fermi surface sheets are nearly cylindrical, $T_F$ may be estimated directly from the superfluid density $\lambda_L^2(0)$ via the relation $T_F = (\hbar^2 / m^*) k_B m^* \approx \hbar^2 / \mu_0 e^2 d \lambda_L^2(0)$, where $n_{\text{2D}}$ is the carrier concentration within the superconducting planes and $d$ is the interlayer spacing. The results of various superconductors are also shown in Figure 8a, where $T_F$ is given by $T_F = (\hbar^2 / 2m^*)^{2/3} n_s^{2/3} / k_B m^*$ for 3D systems (127).

Figure 8a presents the Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) temperature for an ideal 3D boson gas: $T_B = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^* k_B} \left( \frac{2}{30} \right) = 0.0176 T_F$.

In a quasi-2D system, this value of $T_B$ provides an estimate of the maximum condensate temperature. The evolution of the superfluid density in the present system is in sharp contrast to that in cuprates, in which $T_c$ is roughly scaled by $T_F$. Figure 8b depicts the $P$-composition dependence of $T_c$ normalized by the Fermi (or BEC) temperature: $T_c / T_F$ versus $T_c / T_B$. In the large composition region ($x > 0.6$), $T_c / T_F$ is very small, comparable to that of the conventional superconductor Nb. As $x$ is decreased, $T_c / T_F$ increases rapidly, and then decreases in the SDW region after reaching the maximum at the QCP ($x = 0.30$). What is remarkable is that the magnitude of $T_c / T_B$ ($x = 0.30$) at the QCP.

**Figure 8**

Comparisons between the superconducting transition temperature $T_c$ and the effective Fermi temperature $T_F$ (74). (a) The so-called Uemura plot, where $T_c$ is plotted against $T_F$ estimated from the superfluid density. The data for BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$ with different $x$ (red circles and lines) show a quite different behavior from the linear relation found for high-$T_c$ cuprates and other exotic superconductors, and bridge the gap between conventional Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer superconductors and unconventional superconductors. The thick red lines guide the $x$ dependence of $T_c$ for BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$. (b) $T_c / T_F$ ratio as a function of $x$. The dashed lines represent typical values of $T_c / T_F$ for Nb (blue) and cuprates (green), and $T_c / T_B$ for superfluid $^4$He (red). Abbreviation: QCP, quantum critical point.
QCP exceeds that of cuprates and reaches as large as nearly 40% of the value of superfluid $^4$He. This sharp peak in $T_c/T_F$ implies that the pairing interaction becomes strongest at the QCP and that the quantum critical fluctuations help to enhance superconductivity in this system.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this review, we discussed the quantum criticality of iron-based high-$T_c$ superconductors, addressing the issue of a QCP lying beneath the superconducting dome, which we believe to be crucially important for the understanding of anomalous non-Fermi liquid properties, microscopic coexistence between superconductivity and magnetic order, and the mechanism of superconductivity. In cuprates and heavy-fermion compounds, this issue, i.e., whether the pseudogap phase in cuprates and the SDW phase in heavy-fermion compounds terminate at a QCP deep inside the dome, has been hotly debated but remains puzzling.

We have shown that the isovalent doped pnictide $\text{BaFe}_2(\text{As}_{1-x}\text{P}_x)_2$ is an ideal system to study this issue because we can tune the electronic properties through a wide range of the phase diagram, ranging from SDW metal, through high-$T_c$ superconductor, to conventional Fermi liquid metal, without introducing appreciable scattering. The transport properties, NMR, quantum oscillations, and specific heat all suggest the presence of the QCP at $x_c = 0.30$. Moreover, zero-temperature London penetration-depth measurements provide clear and direct evidence of the QCP lying beneath the superconducting dome. The QCP inside the dome includes the following important implications:

1. The QCP is the origin of the non-Fermi liquid behavior above $T_c$.
2. Unconventional superconductivity coexists with a SDW antiferromagnetism on a microscopic level.
3. The quantum critical fluctuations help to enhance the high-$T_c$ superconductivity.

The presence or absence of a QCP inside the dome is still a question of debate not only in cuprates and heavy fermions but also in other pnictides. With three unconventional superconducting systems to compare and contrast, the vital clues that could be used to solve the mystery of anomalous electronic properties and unconventional superconductivity might be uncovered.
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